Prepared for submission to JHEP

MPP-2015-266

Matching effective chiral Lagrangians with dimensional and lattice regularizations

arXiv:1601.00614v3 [hep-lat] 29 Apr 2016

F. Niedermayer[a] **and P. Weisz**[b]

[a] *Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Bern, Switzerland*

[b] *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, 80805 Munich, Germany*

E-mail: niedermayer@itp.unibe.ch, pew@mpp.mpg.de

Abstract: We compute the free energy in the presence of a chemical potential coupled to a conserved charge in effective O(n) scalar field theory (without explicit symmetry breaking terms) to third order for asymmetric volumes in general d–dimensions, using dimensional (DR) and lattice regularizations. This yields relations between the 4-derivative couplings appearing in the effective actions for the two regularizations, which in turn allows us to translate results, e.g. the mass gap in a finite periodic box in $d = 3 + 1$ dimensions, from one regularization to the other. Consistency is found with a new direct computation of the mass gap using DR. For the case $n = 4, d = 4$ the model is the low-energy effective theory of QCD with $N_{\rm f} = 2$ massless quarks. The results can thus be used to obtain estimates of low energy constants in the effective chiral Lagrangian from measurements of the low energy observables, including the low lying spectrum of $N_{\rm f} = 2$ QCD in the δ–regime using lattice simulations, as proposed by Peter Hasenfratz, or from the susceptibility corresponding to the chemical potential used.

We dedicate this paper to the memory of Peter Hasenfratz, a great physicist, our good friend and colleague.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 The free energy on the lattice **3**
- 2.1 The effective lattice action 3
- 2.2 Perturbative expansion 5
- 2.3 The chemical potential 7
  - 2.3.1 Computation of $\boldsymbol{F_1}$ up to $\mathbf{O}\left(g_0^2\right)$ 9
  - 2.3.2 Computation of $\boldsymbol{F_2}$ up to $\mathbf{O}\left(g_0^2\right)$ 10
  - 2.3.3 Summary 11
- 2.4 Renormalization on the lattice 11
  - 2.4.1 Case $\boldsymbol{d=2}$ 12
  - 2.4.2 Case $\boldsymbol{d=3}$ 13
  - 2.4.3 Case $\boldsymbol{d=4}$ 14

3 The free energy with dimensional regularization **17**
- 3.1 The effective action 17
- 3.2 Perturbative expansion 18
- 3.3 The chemical potential 19
- 3.4 Summary 22
- 3.5 Case $\boldsymbol{n=2}$ 22
- 3.6 Case $\boldsymbol{d=2}$ 23
- 3.7 Case $\boldsymbol{d=3}$ 24
- 3.8 Case $\boldsymbol{d=4}$ 24

4 Matching the effective actions for $d=2$ and $d=4$ **26**
- 4.1 Case $\boldsymbol{d=2}$ 26
- 4.2 Case $\boldsymbol{d=4}$ 28

5 The mass gap **30**

6 Conclusions **33**

A The terms $B_4^{(i)}$ and $C_4^{(i)}$ **35**

B Some lattice momentum sums **36**

C Correlators appearing in F_r with lattice regularization **37**
- C.1 Correlators appearing in $\boldsymbol{F_{1,0}}$ and $\boldsymbol{F_{1,1}}$ 37
- C.2 Correlators appearing in $\boldsymbol{F_{2,0}}$ and $\boldsymbol{F_{2,1}}$ 38
- C.3 Computation of $\boldsymbol{F_3}$ up to $\mathbf{O}\left(g_0^2\right)$ 39
  - C.3.1 Computation of $\boldsymbol{F_{3,1}^{(i)}}$ 40

C.4 Computation of $\boldsymbol{F_4}$ up to $\mathbf{O}\left(\boldsymbol{g_0^2}\right)$ 41
C.4.1 Computation of $\boldsymbol{F_{4,1}^{(i)}}$ 42
C.5 Computation of $\boldsymbol{F_5}$ up to $\mathbf{O}\left(\boldsymbol{g_0^2}\right)$ 43

D The n = 2 case with lattice regularization **43**

1 Introduction

There is now much confidence that Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is the theory of the strong interactions, and there is good evidence that in this theory with massless quarks chiral flavor symmetry is spontaneously broken. The low energy phenomena in systems with spontaneously broken symmetry are governed by the dynamics of the Goldstone bosons (pions in the case of QCD). This can be described by an effective field theory, and the calculations can be performed by chiral perturbation theory χPT [1, 2].

The interplay between χPT and QCD has been extremely fruitful. In early times of lattice simulations of QCD when light dynamical quarks could not be simulated efficiently, χPT was used to extrapolate the data to smaller pion masses m_π. Lately, since simulations at physical pion masses became feasible, one can use lattice data to obtain the parameters in the chiral Lagrangian, the pion decay constant F_π and the low energy constants (LEC's), from the underlying microscopic theory QCD more precisely than from phenomenology. For a detailed summary of various determinations of the LEC's the reader is referred to the FLAG review [3].

Both χPT computations and lattice simulations of QCD can be done in special environments where physical experiments cannot be envisaged. One can study the dependence on parameters (such as quark masses), and one can place the system into a space-time box of size $L_t \times L_s^{d-1}$ and study the dependence of physical quantities on the box size L_s of the order a few fermi. Leutwyler was the first to systematize the different regimes of QCD in a finite box [4]. One special environment is the so called δ–regime where the system is in a periodic spatial box of sides L_s and $m_\pi L_s$ is small (i.e. small or zero quark mass) whereas $F_\pi L_s$ is large.

In 2009 Hasenfratz [5] pointed out that promising observables in the δ-regime are the low lying stable masses. Firstly measuring low lying stable masses to good precision is among the easiest numerical tasks. Secondly the finite box size introduces an infra-red cutoff which allows to study the chiral limit in a first stage and switching on the symmetry breaking terms later.

For massless two-flavor QCD the relevant χPT has SU(2)×SU(2)$\simeq O(4)$ symmetry. It has been shown by Leutwyler [4] that in the leading order of χPT, with general (unbroken) O(n) symmetry (and $d = 4$), the spectrum is given by a quantum mechanical rotator $E(l) = l(l+n-2)/(2\Theta)\,,\ l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, the "angular momentum" being the O(n) isospin, with moment of inertia $\Theta = F^2 L_s^3$ fixed by the decay constant F (in the chiral limit).

The next-to-leading order (NLO) term of the expansion in $1/(F^2L_s^2)$ has been calculated in [6]. The level spectrum is to this order still governed solely by F, so an evaluation of this spectrum on the lattice potentially gives a good initial estimate of F. Since the NLO correction turned out to be large, however, it was important to calculate the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) term; furthermore the chiral logs and the LEC's l_1, l_2 (in the 4-derivative terms in the effective action) first appear at this order.

Two independent results for the NNLO correction have been presented. The first is by Hasenfratz [5] using dimensional regularization (DR). His procedure, which was quite involved, was to consider a volume infinite in the time direction, and to separate the degrees of freedom in the δ–regime into (spatially constant) slow and fast modes. The latter are then integrated out (treated in PT) resulting in an effective Lagrangian for the slow modes, an O(n) rotator with a modified moment of inertia, whose energy excitations are much smaller than those of the standard Goldstone boson excitations carrying finite momenta $\geq 2\pi/L_s$.

The second computation was by Niedermayer and Weiermann [7] using lattice regularization; it involved generalizing the computation of the small-volume mass gap in the 2d O(n) non-linear sigma-model by Lüscher, Weisz, and Wolff [8] to higher dimensions $d > 2$.

Of course the physical content of a QFT is independent of regularization. The matching of UV regularizations of renormalizable asymptotically free theories can be obtained by determining the ratio of Λ-parameters which just involves a 1-loop calculation. Here we have an effective QFT and the matching of different regularizations in such theories is, as far as we know, still a relatively untouched problem. In particular the results of the two NNLO computations referred to above could not be quantitatively compared, apart from the chiral logs, since relations between the couplings of the 4-derivative terms in the effective Lagrangians were unknown. In this paper we have closed this gap.

Here we compute the change in the free energy due to a chemical potential coupled to a conserved charge in the non-linear O(n) sigma model with two regularizations, lattice regularization (with standard action) in sect. 2 and DR in sect. 3. The computation is performed in a general d-dimensional volume with periodic boundary conditions in all directions. The volume is left asymmetric. This freedom allows us for $d = 4$ in sect. 4 to establish two independent relations among the 4-derivative couplings appearing in the effective actions of the two regularizations. These relations in turn allow us to convert results for physical quantities computed by the lattice regularization to those involving scales introduced in DR. Computations on the lattice, although algebraically more involved than analogous continuum computations, have the advantage that they are conceptually "fool-proof". Computations with DR are however often tricky starting at two loops.

In particular one of the relations referred to above allow us to convert the result of the mass gap computed on the lattice in [7] to DR (in sect. 5). Unfortunately the outcome of this does not agree with the result of Hasenfratz [5]. We thus recomputed the mass gap with DR and thereby obtained a result in complete agreement with that translated from the lattice. We are thus quite confident that it is correct.

The sums and integrals which appear in our computation, in particular the two-loop massless sunset diagram, are treated in a separate accompanying paper [9].

In this paper we do not consider explicit O(n) symmetry breaking. In QCD the effect of including a small explicit symmetry breaking (a small quark mass) has been done to LO in [4], and to NLO by Weingart [10, 11]. In a recent paper Matzelle and Tiburzi [12] study the effect of small symmetry breaking in the QM rotator picture, and extend the results for small non-zero temperatures.

The proposal to use the mass gap in the delta regime to determine the LEC's of QCD has its own advantages and disadvantages. As opposed to a similar problem in the ϵ-regime one does not need the value of the (finite-volume, renormalized) condensate, which is a non-trivial task. It is rather insensitive to the LEC's l_1 and l_2, which also means that it is a convenient quantity to determine F. A computational difficulty is that one needs a largely elongated lattice in the time direction. To follow the decay of the correlation function one needs $m(L_s)L_t \gg 1$ which means $L_t/L_s \gg F^2L_s^2 > 1$. (The last condition should hold since we have an expansion in $1/(F^2L_s^2)$). Another problem – which is, however, not specific for the δ-regime – is to use a chirally invariant fermion action satisfying the Ginsparg-Wilson relation, if one would like to avoid the extra tuning of the bare quark mass approaching the chiral limit.

There are other important physical systems where the order parameter of the spontaneous symmetry breaking is an O(n) vector. In particular in condensed matter physics, anti-ferromagnetic layers are described by O(3) for $d = 3$. Here the NNLO computation is complete to order $1/L_s^2$; for details and comparisons to experiment see ref. [6].

2 The free energy on the lattice

In this section we work with a hyper-cubic d-dimensional lattice of volume $V = L_t \times L_s^{d_s}$, $d_s = d - 1$. Define the aspect ratio $\ell \equiv L_t/L_s$.

The dynamical variables are spins $S_a(x)\,, a = 1, \ldots, n$ of unit length $\mathbf{S}(x)^2 = 1$ with periodic boundary conditions in all directions $\mathbf{S}(x + L_t\hat{0}) = \mathbf{S}(x) = \mathbf{S}(x + L_s\hat{k})\,,\ k = 1, \ldots, d_s\,,$ where $\hat{\mu}$ is the unit vector in the μ–direction. We will often set the lattice spacing a to 1 and will restore it again only in selected equations.

2.1 The effective lattice action

The effective lattice action $\mathcal{A}$ is a sum over terms

$$\mathcal{A} = A_2 + A_4 + \ldots\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where the classical continuum limit of A_{2r} has $2r$ derivatives. In this paper we will only consider the expansion up to and including four derivatives.

For A_2 we take the standard lattice action:

$$A_2 = \frac{1}{2g_0^2}\sum_{x\mu}\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(x) = -\frac{1}{g_0^2}\sum_{x\mu}\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(x+\hat{\mu}) + \text{const}\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where g_0 is the bare coupling and ∂_μ is the lattice difference operator (we will also need the backward difference operator ∂^*_μ)

$$\partial_\mu f(x) = f(x+\hat{\mu}) - f(x)\,, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\partial^*_\mu f(x) = f(x) - f(x-\hat{\mu})\,. \tag{2.4}$$

The most general form of the four derivative terms is given by [6]

$$A_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4} \left[A_4^{(i)} - c^{(i)} \sum_{x\mu} \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \right] , \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$A_4^{(1)} = \sum_x \Box \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \Box \mathbf{S}(x)\,, \tag{2.6}$$

$$A_4^{(2)} = \sum_{x\mu\nu} \left[\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x)\right] \left[\partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x)\right] , \tag{2.7}$$

$$A_4^{(3)} = \sum_{x\mu\nu} \left[\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x)\right] \left[\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x)\right] , \tag{2.8}$$

$$A_4^{(4)} = A_4^{(4a)} - \frac{1}{d+2} \left(A_4^{(2)} + 2A_4^{(3)} \right) , \tag{2.9}$$

$$A_4^{(5)} = A_4^{(5a)} - \frac{1}{d+2} \left(2A_4^{(5b)} + A_4^{(5c)} \right) , \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$A_4^{(4a)} = \sum_{x\mu} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}_x \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}_x)^2\,, \tag{2.11}$$

$$A_4^{(5a)} = \sum_{x\mu} \Box_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \Box_\mu \mathbf{S}(x), \tag{2.12}$$

$$A_4^{(5b)} = A_4^{(1)}\,, \tag{2.13}$$

$$A_4^{(5c)} = \sum_{x\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\nu \partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x)\,, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\Box_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu \partial^*_\mu\,, \qquad \Box = \sum_\mu \Box_\mu\,. \tag{2.15}$$

In (2.5) we subtract a term proportional to the leading action A_2 from each of the 4-derivative interactions. The coefficients $c^{(i)}$ serve to remove the power-like divergence $1/a^p$ from the contribution of the corresponding operator, (note $c^{(4)} = 0$). The subtracted operators then renormalize multiplicatively.

The set of five operators above is redundant[1]. One can use this observation to eliminate, say, the coupling $g_4^{(1)}$ (as in [6]), or alternatively, to check that the final result for physical quantities depends only on the sum of the couplings, $g_4^{(1)} + g_4^{(2)}$.

[1] As explained in [6], changing the field variable

$$\mathbf{S}(x) \to [\mathbf{S}(x) + \alpha \Box \mathbf{S}(x)]/|\mathbf{S}(x) + \alpha \Box \mathbf{S}(x)| \tag{2.16}$$

The total action including only terms to this order is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = Z_4 A_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4} A_4^{(i)} \,, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$Z_4 \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{2} g_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^{5} g_4^{(i)} c^{(i)} \,. \tag{2.19}$$

2.2 Perturbative expansion

After separating the zero mode as in [13] and changing to $\vec{\pi}$ variables (with $\sum_x \vec{\pi}(x) = 0$) according to $\mathbf{S} = (g_0\vec{\pi}, \sqrt{1 - g_0^2\vec{\pi}^2})$ we have

$$A_{2,\text{eff}}[\vec{\pi}] = A_2[\vec{\pi}] + A_{2,\text{measure}}[\vec{\pi}] + A_{2,\text{zero}}[\vec{\pi}] \,, \tag{2.20}$$

with

$$A_{2,\text{measure}}[\vec{\pi}] = \sum_x \ln \left[1 - g_0^2 \vec{\pi}(x)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \,, \tag{2.21}$$

$$A_{2,\text{zero}}[\vec{\pi}] = -n_1 \ln \frac{1}{V} \sum_x \left[1 - g_0^2 \vec{\pi}(x)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \,, \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$n_1 \equiv n - 1 \,. \tag{2.23}$$

$A_{2,\text{eff}}$ has a perturbative expansion

$$A_{2,\text{eff}} = A_{2,0} + g_0^2 A_{2,1} + g_0^4 A_{2,2} + \ldots \tag{2.24}$$

where (here we will need only $A_{2,0}$ and $A_{2,1}$):

$$A_{2,0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_x \partial_\mu \vec{\pi}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \vec{\pi}(x) \,, \tag{2.25}$$

$$A_{2,1} = A_{2,1}^{(a)} + A_{2,1}^{(b)} \,, \tag{2.26}$$

$$A_{2,1}^{(a)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{n_1}{V}\right) \sum_x \vec{\pi}(x)^2 \,, \tag{2.27}$$

$$A_{2,1}^{(b)} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_x \partial_\mu \left[\vec{\pi}(x)^2\right] \partial_\mu \left[\vec{\pi}(x)^2\right] \,. \tag{2.28}$$

We expand the couplings of the 4-derivative terms according to

$$g_4^{(i)} = \sum_{r=0} g_{4,r}^{(i)} g_0^{2r} \,. \tag{2.29}$$

---

the leading term of the effective action produces 4-derivative terms:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x\mu} \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \to \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x\mu} \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) - \alpha \left(A_4^{(1)} - A_4^{(2)}\right) + \ldots \tag{2.17}$$

up to terms with higher derivatives.

Noting that the coefficients $c^{(i)}$ defined in (2.5) are of order g_0^2:

$$c^{(i)} = \overline{c}^{(i)} g_0^2 + \dots \tag{2.30}$$

the renormalization constant Z_4 has a perturbative expansion

$$Z_4 = 1 + \sum_{r=2} Z_{4,r} g_0^{2r} , \qquad (Z_{4,1} = 0) , \tag{2.31}$$

with

$$Z_{4,2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{5} g_{4,0}^{(i)} \overline{c}^{(i)} . \tag{2.32}$$

The total effective action has a perturbative expansion of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{r=0} \mathcal{A}_r g_0^{2r} , \tag{2.33}$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = A_{2,0} , \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = A_{2,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{g_{4,0}^{(i)}}{4} A_{4,1}^{(i)} , \tag{2.35}$$

with

$$A_{4,1}^{(1)} = \sum_x \Box\vec{\pi}(x) \cdot \Box\vec{\pi}(x) , \tag{2.36}$$

$$A_{4,1}^{(i)} = 0 , \quad i = 2, 3, 4 , \tag{2.37}$$

$$A_{4,1}^{(5)} = A_{4,1}^{(5a)} - \frac{1}{d+2} \left(2A_{4,1}^{(5b)} + A_{4,1}^{(5c)} \right) , \tag{2.38}$$

with

$$A_{4,1}^{(5a)} = \sum_{x\mu} \Box_\mu\vec{\pi}(x) \cdot \Box_\mu\vec{\pi}(x) , \tag{2.39}$$

$$A_{4,1}^{(5b)} = A_{4,1}^{(1)} , \tag{2.40}$$

$$A_{4,1}^{(5c)} = \sum_{x\mu\nu} \partial_\mu\partial_\mu\vec{\pi}(x) \cdot \partial_\nu\partial_\nu\vec{\pi}(x) . \tag{2.41}$$

The perturbative coefficients of expectation values are sums of expectation values of products of $\vec{\pi}$ fields with respect to the Gaussian free field action $\mathcal{A}_0$ which are denoted by $\langle \dots \rangle_0$. In particular the 2-point function is given by

$$\langle \pi_a(x) \pi_b(y) \rangle_0 = \delta_{ab} G(x - y) , \tag{2.42}$$

with the free propagator

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_p{}' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{ipx}}{\hat{p}^2} , \qquad \hat{p}_\mu = 2 \sin \frac{p_\mu}{2} , \tag{2.43}$$

where the sum is over momenta $p_0 = 2\pi n_0 / L_t$, $n_0 = 0, \dots, L_t - 1$ and $p_k = 2\pi n_k / L_s$, $n_k = 0, \dots, L_s - 1$ and the prime on the sum means that $p = 0$ is omitted.

2.3 The chemical potential

The action considered in this paper has no explicit O(n) ("isospin") symmetry breaking term. In an infinite volume the symmetry is broken spontaneously, Goldstone bosons (massless pions for QCD) appear, and the ground state of the theory is a condensed state of the Goldstone bosons. However, spontaneous isospin symmetry breaking is precluded in the present finite volume setup.

Because of the symmetry the isospin charge and its component Q_{12} are well defined, conserved quantities; their values characterize a given eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (or transfer matrix). These numbers do not depend on the details of the regularization and renormalization. One introduces the chemical potential by modifying the transfer matrix[2] $\hat{T}(a) \to \hat{T}(a)\mathrm{e}^{ahQ_{12}}$. By this one only re-weights the states in the partition function, shifting their energy by hQ_{12}, hence the h-dependent part of the free energy should not depend on these details either. Hence by comparing this quantity in two different regularizations one can relate the corresponding couplings.

In terms of the action introducing the chemical potential is done by the replacement

$$\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y) \to [\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y)]_h\,, \tag{2.44}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y)]_h &= \mathrm{e}^{(y_0-x_0)h}S_-(x)S_+(y) + \mathrm{e}^{-(y_0-x_0)h}S_+(x)S_-(y) + \sum_{a=3}^{n} S_a(x)S_a(y) \\ &= \mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y) + i\sinh\left((y_0-x_0)h\right)\,\left[S_1(x)S_2(y) - S_2(x)S_1(y)\right] \\ &\quad + \left\{\cosh\left((y_0-x_0)h\right) - 1\right\}\left[S_1(x)S_1(y) + S_2(x)S_2(y)\right]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

where $S_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S_1 \pm iS_2)$. This gives an additional h-dependent part $\mathcal{A}_h$ to the total action of the form

$$\mathcal{A}_h = Z_4 A_{2h} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4} A_{4h}^{(i)}\,. \tag{2.46}$$

Further writing

$$A_{2h} = ihB_2 + h^2C_2 + \dots\,, \tag{2.47}$$

$$A_{4h}^{(i)} = ihB_4^{(i)} + h^2C_4^{(i)} + \dots\,, \tag{2.48}$$

we have

$$B_2 = -\frac{1}{g_0^2}\sum_x \left[S_1(x)S_2(x+\hat{0}) - S_2(x)S_1(x+\hat{0})\right]\,, \tag{2.49}$$

$$C_2 = -\frac{1}{2g_0^2}\sum_x \left[S_1(x)S_1(x+\hat{0}) + S_2(x)S_2(x+\hat{0})\right]\,, \tag{2.50}$$

and the terms $B_4^{(i)}, C_4^{(i)}$ are given in Appendix A.

[2] For clarity we re-introduced here the lattice spacing.

The h-dependent part of the free energy f_h defined by:

$$\mathrm{e}^{-Vf_h} = \langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{A}_h} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = 1 - \langle \mathcal{A}_h \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{A}_h^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} + \dots \tag{2.51}$$

giving up to the order h^2:

$$Vf_h = \langle \mathcal{A}_h \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{A}_h^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{A}_h \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \dots \tag{2.52}$$

For finite volumes the $\lim_{h\to 0} \left(f_h/h^2 \right)$ exists; we define the corresponding susceptibility χ by

$$\chi \equiv -2 \lim_{h\to 0} \left(f_h/h^2 \right) . \tag{2.53}$$

Now

$$\langle B_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = 0 = \langle B_4^{(i)} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \forall i \,, \tag{2.54}$$

so we have

$$\chi = -2 \sum_{s=1}^{5} F_s \,, \tag{2.55}$$

with

$$F_1 = Z_4 \frac{1}{V} \langle C_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,, \tag{2.56}$$

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{2} Z_4^2 \frac{1}{V} \langle B_2^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,, \tag{2.57}$$

$$F_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4} \frac{1}{V} \langle C_4^{(i)} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,, \tag{2.58}$$

$$F_4 = Z_4 \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4} \frac{1}{V} \langle B_2 B_4^{(i)} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,, \tag{2.59}$$

$$F_5 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4} \frac{g_4^{(j)}}{4} \frac{1}{V} \langle B_4^{(i)} B_4^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,. \tag{2.60}$$

In the following subsections where we compute the contributions F_s we will use the fact that the total action $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant under global $\mathrm{O}(n)$ transformations of the spins, so that the expectation value of any observable is equal to the expectation value of its average over $\mathrm{O}(n)$ rotations Ω:[3]

$$\langle \mathcal{O}[S] \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle [\mathcal{O}[S]]_{\Omega} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,. \tag{2.61}$$

For arbitrary spins $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ we will use the following averages

$$[a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2]_{\Omega} = \frac{2}{n} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \,, \tag{2.62}$$

and

$$[(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)(c_1 d_2 - c_2 d_1)]_{\Omega} = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \left[(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d}) - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{d})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}) \right] . \tag{2.63}$$

[3] Recall that we consider finite volume where no spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs.

2.3.1 Computation of F_1 up to O (g_0^2)

Averaging over the rotations (using (2.62)) we have

$$\frac{1}{V}\left[C_2\right]_\Omega = -\frac{1}{ng_0^2} + \frac{1}{2ng_0^2}U\,, \tag{2.64}$$

where

$$U = \frac{1}{V}\sum_x \partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\,. \tag{2.65}$$

This has the perturbative expansion

$$U = g_0^2U_1 + g_0^4U_2 + \dots \tag{2.66}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \frac{1}{V}\sum_x \partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\,,\\ U_2 &= \frac{1}{4V}\sum_x \left[\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)^2\right]^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.67}$$

Expanding (2.56) in a perturbative series

$$F_1 = -\frac{1}{ng_0^2} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} F_{1,r}g_0^{2r}\,, \tag{2.68}$$

we have at leading orders

$$F_{1,0} = \frac{1}{2n}\langle U_1\rangle_0\,, \tag{2.69}$$

and

$$F_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2n}\left[-2Z_{4,2} + \langle U_2\rangle_0 - \langle U_1A_{2,1}\rangle_0^c - \sum_{i=1}^{5}\frac{g_{4,0}^{(i)}}{4}\langle U_1A_{4,1}^{(i)}\rangle_0^c\right], \tag{2.70}$$

where the superscript ^{c} in $\langle\dots\rangle_0^c$ means the connected part. The correlation functions appearing in (2.69,2.70) are given in Appendix C.1 yielding

$$F_{1,0} = \frac{n_1}{2n}I_{11}\,, \tag{2.71}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1,1} = \frac{n_1}{2n}\Big[&-\frac{2}{n_1}Z_{4,2} + I_{11}\left\{I_{10} - \frac{1}{4}I_{11}\right\} - \mathcal{F}_1 + \left(1-\frac{n_1}{V}\right)I_{21}\\ &- \frac{g_{4,0}^{(1)}}{2}I_{01} - \frac{g_{4,0}^{(5)}}{2}\left\{J_{21} - \frac{1}{d+2}\left(2I_{01}+\mathcal{F}_4\right)\right\}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.72}$$

Here $I_{nm}, J_{nm}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_4$ are momentum sums defined in equations (B.1), (B.2), (B.4), (B.6), (B.9) respectively.

2.3.2 Computation of F_2 up to O (g_0^2)

Averaging over the rotations one has, using (2.63),

$$\frac{1}{V}\left[B_2^2\right]_\Omega = \frac{4}{nn_1 g_0^4} W\,, \tag{2.73}$$

where W is given by

$$W = \frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy} \nabla_0 \mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\nabla_0\mathbf{S}(y)\left[\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y)-1\right]\,, \tag{2.74}$$

where $\nabla_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_0+\partial_0^*)$ is the symmetric derivative. W has a perturbative expansion

$$W = g_0^4 W_2 + g_0^6 W_3 + \dots \tag{2.75}$$

with (to the order we need)

$$W_2 = \frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy}\left[\nabla_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\nabla_0\vec{\pi}(y)\right]\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}(y)\,, \tag{2.76}$$

$$W_3 = \frac{1}{2V}\sum_{xy}\left[\nabla_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\nabla_0\vec{\pi}(y)\right]\vec{\pi}(x)^2\vec{\pi}(y)^2\,. \tag{2.77}$$

Expanding (2.57) in a perturbative series

$$F_2 = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} F_{2,r} g_0^{2r}\,, \tag{2.78}$$

we have at leading order

$$F_{2,0} = \frac{2}{nn_1}\langle W_2\rangle_0\,, \tag{2.79}$$

and at next order

$$F_{2,1} = \frac{2}{nn_1}\left[\langle W_3\rangle_0 - \langle W_2 A_{2,1}\rangle_0^c - \sum_{i=1}^{5}\frac{g_{4,0}^{(i)}}{4}\langle W_2 A_{4,1}^{(i)}\rangle_0^c\right]. \tag{2.80}$$

The correlation functions appearing in (2.79) and (2.80) are computed in Appendix C.2 yielding

$$F_{2,0} = \frac{2(n_1-1)}{n}\left[I_{21}-\frac{1}{4}I_{22}\right]\,, \tag{2.81}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2,1} = {} & \frac{1}{n}W_{3c} + \frac{2(n_1-1)}{n}\Big[W_{3a} - 2\mathcal{F}_2 + \mathcal{F}_3 + 2\left(1-\frac{n_1}{V}\right)\left\{I_{31}-\frac{1}{4}I_{32}\right\} \\ & - g_{4,0}^{(1)}\left(I_{11}-\frac{1}{4}I_{12}\right) - g_{4,0}^{(5)}\left(J_{31}-\frac{1}{4}J_{32}-\frac{1}{d+2}\left\{2I_{11}-\frac{1}{2}I_{12}+\mathcal{F}_5\right\}\right)\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.82}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3\mathcal{F}_5$ are defined in (B.7,B.8,B.10), and W_{3a}, W_{3c} are defined through

$$W_{3a} = -\sum_x G(x)^2\nabla_0^2 G(x)\,, \tag{2.83}$$

$$W_{3c} = \sum_x \nabla_0 G(x)\left[(\partial_0 G(x))^2 - (\partial_0^* G(x))^2\right] = -\frac{1}{6}\sum_x\left[\Box_0 G(x)\right]^3\,. \tag{2.84}$$

2.3.3 Summary

The computation of the leading contributions to F_3, F_4, F_5 follows similar steps as in the subsections above and details are presented in Appendices C.3-C.5. Summarizing our results so far, the susceptibility with standard lattice regularization is given by

$$\chi = \frac{2}{n g_0^2} \left(1 + \overline{R}_1 g_0^2 + \overline{R}_2 g_0^4 + \dots\right) , \tag{2.85}$$

with

$$\overline{R}_1 = -\frac{n_1}{2} I_{11} - 2(n_1 - 1)\left(I_{21} - \frac{1}{4} I_{22}\right) , \tag{2.86}$$

and

$$\overline{R}_2 = \overline{R}_2^{(a)} + \overline{R}_2^{(b)} , \tag{2.87}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}_2^{(a)} = &-\frac{1}{2} n_1 \left[I_{11} \left\{ I_{10} - \frac{1}{4} I_{11} \right\} - \mathcal{F}_1 + \left(1 - \frac{n_1}{V}\right) I_{21} \right] \\ &- W_{3c} - 2(n_1 - 1)\left[W_{3a} - 2\mathcal{F}_2 + \mathcal{F}_3 + 2\left(1 - \frac{n_1}{V}\right)\left\{ I_{31} - \frac{1}{4} I_{32} \right\} \right] , \end{aligned} \tag{2.88}$$

and

$$\overline{R}_2^{(b)} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} g_{4,0}^{(i)} G^{(i)} , \tag{2.89}$$

with

$$G^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{c}^{(1)} + 2I_{11} - \frac{1}{2} I_{12} + n_1 \left[I_{00} - \frac{1}{4} I_{01} \right] , \tag{2.90}$$

$$G^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{c}^{(2)} + 2I_{11} + n_1 I_{00} , \tag{2.91}$$

$$G^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{c}^{(3)} + I_{00} + (n_1 + 1) I_{11} , \tag{2.92}$$

$$G^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{c}^{(4)} - \frac{(n_1 + 2)}{(d + 2)} \left[I_{00} - d I_{11} \right] , \tag{2.93}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(5)} = &-\frac{1}{2}\overline{c}^{(5)} - \frac{2}{(d+2)} \left\{ 2I_{11} - \frac{1}{2} I_{12} + n_1 \left[I_{00} - \frac{1}{4} I_{01} \right] \right\} \\ &- \frac{n_1}{(d+2)} \left[-(d+1)\left\{ 3I_{11} - I_{12} \right\} + \mathcal{F}_6 - \frac{1}{4}(d+2) J_{21} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_4 \right] \\ &+ (n_1 - 1) \left\{ 2J_{31} - \frac{1}{2} J_{32} - \frac{1}{(d+2)} \left[2\mathcal{F}_5 + (d+1)\left(4I_{22} - I_{23}\right) - 2\mathcal{F}_7 \right] \right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.94}$$

A check of (2.88) for the special case of $n = 2$ is given in Appendix D.

2.4 Renormalization on the lattice

The renormalization procedure depends on the dimension; in the following we will consider the cases $d = 2, 3, 4$.

2.4.1 Case $d = 2$

For $d = 2$ the theory is renormalizable so we can set the 4-derivative couplings $g_4^{(i)}$ to zero. As is well known the theory is asymptotically free.

A renormalized "minimal" lattice coupling $g_{\rm latt}(\mu)$ is defined through

$$\frac{1}{g_0^2} = \frac{1}{g_{\rm latt}^2(\mu)} - b_0 \ln(a\mu) - b_1 \ln(a\mu) g_{\rm latt}^2(\mu) + \dots \tag{2.95}$$

where b_0, b_1 are the universal 1-, and 2-loop coefficients of the β-function [14, 15]:

$$b_0 = \frac{n-2}{2\pi}, \qquad b_1 = \frac{n-2}{4\pi^2}. \tag{2.96}$$

In the continuum limit

$$I_{11} = \frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.97}$$

$$I_{21} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \ln(L_s/a) + I_{21;0}(\ell) + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.98}$$

$$I_{22} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi} + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right). \tag{2.99}$$

The coefficients $I_{nm;r}$ appearing in the large L_s/a expansion of I_{nm} are considered in [9].

So

$$\overline{R}_1 = -b_0 \ln(L_s/a) + \overline{r}_1 + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.100}$$

with

$$\overline{r}_1 = -\frac{1}{4} - 2(n-2)\left[I_{21;0}(\ell) + \frac{1}{8\pi}\right]. \tag{2.101}$$

Next

$$I_{10} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(L_s/a) + I_{10;0}(\ell) + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.102}$$

$$I_{32} = \frac{3}{16\pi} \ln(L_s/a) + I_{32;0}(\ell) + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.103}$$

$$\frac{1}{V} I_{31} = I_{31;-2}(\ell) + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.104}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(L_s/a) + I_{21;0}(\ell) + \frac{1}{2} I_{10;0}(\ell) - \frac{1}{8} + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.105}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2 - I_{31} = {} & \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \ln^2(L_s/a) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\left\{I_{21;0}(\ell) + \frac{1}{2} I_{10;0}(\ell) + \frac{1}{8\pi} - \frac{11}{32}\right\} \ln(L_s/a) \\ & + \mathcal{F}_{2;0} + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.106}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{2;0} = \left[I_{10;0}(\ell) - \frac{1}{4}\right]\left\{I_{21;0}(\ell) - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8\pi}\right\} - I_{31;-2}(\ell) - \frac{1}{4} I_{32;0}(\ell), \tag{2.107}$$

$$W_{3a} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \ln^2(L_s/a) + W_{3a;0x}(\ell) \ln(L_s/a) + W_{3a;0}(\ell) + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.108}$$

$$W_{3c} = \frac{1}{48} + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right). \tag{2.109}$$

So

$$\overline{R}_2^{(a)} = -b_1 \ln(L_s/a) + \overline{r}_2 + \mathrm{O}\left(a^2\right), \tag{2.110}$$

with

$$\overline{r}_2 = -\frac{5}{96} + 4(n-2)^2 I_{31;-2}(\ell) \\ - 2(n-2)\left[W_{3a;0}(\ell) + \frac{1}{64} - 2\left\{I_{10;0}(\ell) - I_{21;0}(\ell) - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8\pi}\right\}\left\{I_{21;0}(\ell) - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8\pi}\right\}\right], \tag{2.111}$$

where we have used the relation

$$W_{3a;0x}(\ell) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[I_{10;0}(\ell) - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\right]. \tag{2.112}$$

We thus obtain in the continuum limit:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \frac{2}{n g^2_{\rm latt}(\mu)}\left\{1 + \left[-b_0 \ln(\mu L_s) + \overline{r}_1\right] g^2_{\rm latt}(\mu) + \left[-b_1 \ln(\mu L_s) + \overline{r}_2\right] g^4_{\rm latt}(\mu) + \ldots\right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{n g^2_{\rm latt}(1/L_s)}\left\{1 + \overline{r}_1 g^2_{\rm latt}(1/L_s) + \overline{r}_2 g^4_{\rm latt}(1/L_s) + \ldots\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{2.113}$$

which is interpreted as an expansion in the running lattice coupling $g_{\rm latt}(1/L_s)$, the expansion being sensible only for physically small box size L_s.

2.4.2 Case $d = 3$

For $d = 3$ we set $g_0^2 = 1/(\rho_0 a)$, where ρ_0 is the bare spin stiffness, and define a renormalized coupling ρ as in [7] through

$$\frac{1}{\rho_0} = \frac{1}{\rho}\left(1 + \frac{b_1}{\rho a} + \frac{b_2}{\rho^2 a^2} + \ldots\right). \tag{2.114}$$

Then we have

$$\chi = \frac{2\rho}{n}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho a}\hat{R}_1 + \frac{1}{\rho^2 a^2}\hat{R}_2 + \ldots\right) \tag{2.115}$$

with

$$\hat{R}_1 = \overline{R}_1 - b_1\,, \tag{2.116}$$

$$\hat{R}_2 = \overline{R}_2 - b_2 + b_1^2\,. \tag{2.117}$$

From [9] for $d = 3$ $\overline{R}_1$ has a large L_s/a expansion of the form

$$\overline{R}_1 = -\frac{1}{6} - (n-2) I_{10;0} - 2(n-2) I_{21;1}(\ell)\frac{a}{L_s} + \ldots \tag{2.118}$$

with $I_{10;0} = 0.252731009859$, where the large L_s/a expansion of X_A is given by $X_A = \sum_{r=r_0} X_{A;r}(a/L_s)^r$. So for renormalization at leading order we need

$$b_1 = -\frac{1}{6} - (n-2) I_{10;0}\,. \tag{2.119}$$

After choosing the $\overline{c}^{(i)}$ appropriately the terms in $\hat{R}_2$ coming from $\overline{R}_2^{(b)}$ are of order a^3/L_s^3 [4], so the continuum limit is determined only by $\overline{R}_2^{(a)}$

[4] The couplings of the 4-derivative interactions in $d = 3$ have dimension in the continuum formulation.

Further $\overline{R}_2^{(a)}$ has a large L_s/a expansion for $d=3$ of the form

$$\overline{R}_2^{(a)} = \overline{R}_{2;0}^{(a)} + \overline{R}_{2;1}^{(a)} \frac{a}{L_s} + \overline{R}_{2;2}^{(a)} \frac{a^2}{L_s^2} + \dots \tag{2.120}$$

so renormalization requires

$$b_2 - b_1^2 = \overline{R}_{2;0}\,, \tag{2.121}$$

which gives

$$b_2 = b_{20} + b_{21} n_1 + b_{22} n_1^2\,, \tag{2.122}$$

with coefficients independent of ℓ[5]

$$\begin{aligned} b_{20} &= 2W_{3a;0} - W_{3c;0} = 0.0102138509611\,, \\ b_{21} &= \frac{1}{72} - 2W_{3a;0} - I_{10;0}^2 = -0.0659002864141\,, \\ b_{22} &= I_{10;0}^2 = 0.0638729633447\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.123}$$

Further we need

$$0 = \overline{R}_{2;1}^{(a)} = 2(n-2)\left[-W_{3a;1} + \left\{I_{10;0} - \frac{1}{6}\right\} I_{10;1}\right]\,, \tag{2.124}$$

which we have verified numerically to high precision for $\ell=1$ and $\ell=2$ [9].

So finally we have for $d=3$ in the continuum limit:

$$\chi = \frac{2\rho}{n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\rho L_s} 2(n-2) I_{21;1}(\ell) + \frac{1}{\rho^2 L_s^2} \overline{R}_{2;2}^{(a)}(\ell) + \dots\right) \tag{2.125}$$

with

$$\overline{R}_{2;2}^{(a)} = 2(n-2)\left\{-W_{3a;2} + 2I_{21;1}\left(I_{10;1} - I_{21;1}\right) + \frac{2}{\ell}(n-2) I_{31;-1}\right\}\,. \tag{2.126}$$

This result for the susceptibility agrees with (2.29) of [6][6]. e.g.:

$$\overline{R}_{2;2}^{(a)}(\ell) = \begin{cases} -0.00920015939 - 0.007071685928\,(n-2)\,, & \text{for } \ell = 1\,, \\ 0.01560323409 - 0.01338624986\,(n-2)\,, & \text{for } \ell = 2\,. \end{cases} \tag{2.127}$$

2.4.3 Case $d=4$

For $d=4$ we set $g_0^2 = 1/(F_0^2 a^2)$ and define a renormalized coupling F (the pion decay constant in chiral PT in the chiral limit) through

$$\frac{1}{F_0^2} = \frac{1}{F^2}\left(1 + \frac{b_1}{F^2 a^2} + \frac{b_2}{F^4 a^4} + \mathrm{O}\left(1/(Fa)^6\right)\right)\,. \tag{2.128}$$

[5]Note $4I_{21;0} - I_{22;0} = 2I_{10;0} - 1/d$.

[6]with the identification of the notation used there (on the lhs): $\beta_1 = -I_{10;1}\,, \beta_2 = I_{20;-1}\,, \tilde{\beta}_1 = -6I_{21;1}\,,\ \tilde{\beta}_2 = (12/\ell) I_{31;-1}\,,\ \ \psi = W_{3a;2} - 2I_{10;1} I_{21;1}$.

After renormalization we have

$$\chi = \frac{2F^2}{n}\left(1 + \frac{1}{F^2a^2}\hat{R}_1 + \frac{1}{F^4a^4}\hat{R}_2 + \dots\right), \tag{2.129}$$

with

$$\hat{R}_1 = \overline{R}_1 - b_1\,, \tag{2.130}$$
$$\hat{R}_2 = \overline{R}_2 - b_2 + b_1^2\,. \tag{2.131}$$

To cancel the $1/a^2$ terms in $\hat{R}_1/a^2$ one should require

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -\frac{1}{8} - (n-2)I_{10;0} \\ &= 0.029933390231060214084 - 0.15493339023106021408\,n_1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.132}$$

This agrees with the result in [7].

Next since $I_{11;2} = 0 = I_{22;2}$ after renormalization we obtain

$$\lim_{a\to 0}\left[a^{-2}\hat{R}_1\right] = -\frac{2(n-2)}{L_s^2}I_{21;2}\,. \tag{2.133}$$

$\hat{R}_2/a^4$ has divergent terms proportional to $1/a^4$, $1/a^2$ and $\log(a)$. First we recall that the subtraction coefficients $c^{(i)}$ are used to cancel the leading, $1/a^4$ contributions of the corresponding operators. In leading order $\overline{c}^{(i)}$ (defined in (2.30)) is fixed by requiring

$$\lim_{a/L_s\to 0} G^{(i)} = 0\,, \tag{2.134}$$

where $G^{(i)}$ are the coefficients in (2.89), which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{c}^{(1)} &= n - I_{12;0} = n - 0.706624237521511983879301396 6\,, \\ \overline{c}^{(2)} &= 2\,n - 1\,, \\ \overline{c}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{2}\,n + 2\,, \\ \overline{c}^{(4)} &= 0\,, \\ \overline{c}^{(5)} &= -0.030936190551839592713\,n - 0.032327591899970596813\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.135}$$

In [7] the overall sign of $\overline{c}^{(i)}$ was wrong and we also disagree here with sign of the constant term in $\overline{c}^{(5)}$.

Demanding the absence of the $1/a^4$ singularity in $\hat{R}_2/a^4$ determines the second order coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= I_{10;0}^2\,(n-2)^2 + \left(I_{10;0}^2 + \frac{1}{128} - 2\,W_{3a;0}\right)(n-2) + \frac{1}{128} - W_{3c;0} \\ &= 0.024004355408\,(n-2)^2 + 0.028115270716\,(n-2) + 0.005536500909\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.136}$$

This agrees with [7].

With the values for $\overline{c}^{(i)}$ above $G^{(i)} = \mathrm{O}\left(a^4/L_s^4\right)\ \forall i$. It follows that the $1/a^2$ contribution to $\hat{R}_2/a^4$ has no more free parameters and should vanish identically. This requires the relation

$$W_{3a;2} = \left(I_{10;0} - \frac{1}{8}\right) I_{10;2}\,, \tag{2.137}$$

which indeed holds numerically (see [9]).

As for the renormalization of the 4-derivative couplings one has

$$g_{4,0}^{(i)} = k^{(i)} \log(aM_i)\,, \quad i = 1,2,3\,, \tag{2.138}$$

while for $i = 4, 5$ they are not renormalized to this order.

Moreover it is easy to check that after choosing the $\bar{c}^{(i)}$ as above[7]

$$G^{(1)} = G^{(2)} = \frac{a^4}{L_s^4}\left[2I_{11;2} - \frac{n_1}{\ell}\right] + \mathrm{O}\left(a^6/L_s^6\right)\,, \tag{2.139}$$

so that the part of $\hat{R}_2$ contributing in the continuum limit depends on $g_{4,0}^{(1)}, g_{4,0}^{(2)}$ only through their sum $g_{4,0}^{(1)} + g_{4,0}^{(2)}$, consistent with our general argument on the redundancy of the 4-derivative operators in subsection 2.1. In the following we shall use this redundancy to set $g_4^{(1)} = 0$.

The cancellation of the $\ln(L_s/a)$ terms requires a relation for the coefficient of the $N^{-4}\ln N$ term in W_{3a},

$$W_{3a;4x} = \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(10 I_{11;4} + \frac{1}{\ell}\right)\,, \tag{2.140}$$

which is satisfied numerically to high precision. Then the coefficients of the logarithmic terms of $g_{4,0}^{(2)}$ and $g_{4,0}^{(3)}$ are fixed as:

$$-4\pi^2 k^{(2)} = w_1 = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{3}\,, \tag{2.141}$$

$$-4\pi^2 k^{(3)} = w_2 = \frac{2}{3}\,, \tag{2.142}$$

agreeing with refs. [7] and [2].

Noting the relation

$$W_{3c;4} = -\frac{1}{8}\left(4\, I_{10;0} - 1\right) I_{11;4}\,, \tag{2.143}$$

which is satisfied by the numerical values in [9], and inserting eqs. (4.22) and (4.23) one obtains the continuum limit of $\hat{R}_2/a^4$:

$$L_s^4 \lim_{a\to 0} \frac{\hat{R}_2}{a^4} = \widehat{H}_0 - H_2 \frac{w_1}{4\pi^2}\ln(M_2 L_s) - H_3 \frac{w_2}{4\pi^2}\ln(M_3 L_s) + H_4 g_{4,0}^{(4)} + H_5 g_{4,0}^{(5)}\,, \tag{2.144}$$

[7] Note $I_{12;4} = 0$.

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_2 &= -\frac{n-1}{\ell} + 2I_{11;4}\,, \\
H_3 &= -\frac{1}{\ell} + n\, I_{11;4}\,, \\
H_4 &= \frac{1}{6}(n+1)\left(\frac{1}{\ell} + 4\, I_{11;4}\right)\,, \\
H_5 &= \frac{n-1}{2\ell} + (3\,n-4)\, I_{11;4} + 2(n-2)\,(J_{31;4} - 2I_{22;4})\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.145}
$$

and

$$
\widehat{H}_0 = -(n-2)\widehat{w} + \widehat{w}' I_{11;4} + \widehat{w}''\frac{1}{\ell}\,, \tag{2.146}
$$

with

$$
\widehat{w} = -2\left(I_{10;0} - \frac{1}{8}\right) I_{10;4} + 2W_{3a;4} - 4(I_{10;2} - I_{21;2})I_{21;2}\,, \tag{2.147}
$$

$$
\widehat{w}' = \frac{2}{3}(n-2)(I_{33;0} - 4\, I_{32;0}) + \frac{2}{3}\left(n - \frac{3}{4}\right) I_{10;0} - \frac{1}{48}(5n-1)\,, \tag{2.148}
$$

$$
\widehat{w}'' = \frac{1}{24}(3\,n^2 + n - 12)I_{10;0} - (n-2)\left\{\left(n - \frac{4}{3}\right) I_{32;0} - \frac{1}{6}I_{33;0} + \frac{1}{24}\right\} + 4(n-2)^2 I_{31;0}\,. \tag{2.149}
$$

Note that the coefficient of $g_{4,0}^{(4)}$ in eq. (2.144) vanishes for the hyper-cubic case, $\ell = 1$.

3 The free energy with dimensional regularization

In this section we work in a continuum volume $V = L_t \times L_s^{d_s}$, $d_s = d-1$. Again the dynamical variables are spins $S_a(x)\,, a = 1, \ldots, n$ of unit length $\mathbf{S}(x)^2 = 1$ with periodic boundary conditions in all directions. We will dimensionally regularize by adding q extra compact dimensions of size $\widehat{L}$ (also with pbc) and analytically continue the resulting loop formulae to $q = -2\epsilon$. We define $D = d + q\,, V_D = V\widehat{L}^q$. We denote aspect ratio of the extra dimensions by $\hat{\ell} \equiv \widehat{L}/L_s$. It is advantageous to treat these extra dimensions with a different size, since an extra check of the calculation is provided by the requirement that physical quantities are independent of this choice.

Many of the formulae are similar to those with lattice regularization and we will duplicate many of the notations hoping that this will not lead to confusion.

3.1 The effective action

The effective action $\mathcal{A}$ is a sum over terms

$$
\mathcal{A} = A_2 + A_4 + \ldots\,, \tag{3.1}
$$

where A_{2r} has $2r$ derivatives. A_2 is simply given by

$$
A_2 = \frac{1}{2g_0^2}\int_x \sum_\mu \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(x)\,. \tag{3.2}
$$

The four derivative terms are

$$A_4 = \sum_{i=2,3} \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4} A_4^{(i)} \,, \tag{3.3}$$

where (we use redundancy immediately here to set $g_4^{(1)} = 0$),

$$A_4^{(2)} = \int_x \sum_{\mu\nu} \left[\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x)\right] \left[\partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x)\right] \,, \tag{3.4}$$

$$A_4^{(3)} = \int_x \sum_{\mu\nu} \left[\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x)\right] \left[\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\nu \mathbf{S}(x)\right] \,. \tag{3.5}$$

3.2 Perturbative expansion

After separating the zero mode and changing to $\vec{\pi}$ variables ($\mathbf{S} = (g_0\vec{\pi}, \sqrt{1 - g_0^2\vec{\pi}^2})$)

$$A_{2,\text{eff}}[\vec{\pi}] = A_2[\vec{\pi}] + A_{2,\text{zero}}[\vec{\pi}] \,. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that the measure term is not present with dimensional regularization.

$$A_{2,\text{zero}}[\vec{\pi}] = -n_1 \ln\left(\frac{1}{V_D}\int_x \left(1 - g_0^2\vec{\pi}(x)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \,. \tag{3.7}$$

$A_{2,\text{eff}}$ has a perturbative expansion

$$A_{2,\text{eff}} = A_{2,0} + g_0^2 A_{2,1} + \mathrm{O}\left(g_0^4\right) \,, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$A_{2,0} = \frac{1}{2}\int_x \partial_\mu\vec{\pi}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu\vec{\pi}(x) \,, \tag{3.9}$$

$$A_{2,1} = A_{2,1}^{(a)} + A_{2,1}^{(b)} \,, \tag{3.10}$$

$$A_{2,1}^{(a)} = \frac{n_1}{2V_D}\int_x \vec{\pi}(x)^2 \,, \tag{3.11}$$

$$A_{2,1}^{(b)} = \frac{1}{8}\int_x \partial_\mu\left[\vec{\pi}(x)^2\right]\partial_\mu\left[\vec{\pi}(x)^2\right] \,. \tag{3.12}$$

The total effective action has a perturbative expansion of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{r=0} \mathcal{A}_r g_0^{2r} \,, \tag{3.13}$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}_r = A_{2,r} \,, \quad r = 0, 1 \,, \tag{3.14}$$

since

$$A_4^{(i)} = \mathrm{O}\left(g_0^4\right) \,, \quad i = 2, 3 \,. \tag{3.15}$$

The free 2-point function is given by

$$\langle \pi_a(x)\pi_b(y)\rangle_0 = \delta_{ab} G(x - y) \,, \tag{3.16}$$

with propagator

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{V_D} \sum_p{}' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{ipx}}{p^2}\,, \tag{3.17}$$

where the sum is over momenta $p_\mu = 2\pi n_\mu / L_\mu\,,\ n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the prime on the sum means that $p = 0$ is omitted.

3.3 The chemical potential

The chemical potential h is introduced by the substitution:

$$\partial_0 \to \partial_0 - hQ\,, \tag{3.18}$$

where $(QS)_1 = iS_2\,,\ (QS)_2 = -iS_1\,,$ and $(QS)_a = 0\,, a = 3, \ldots, n.$

This gives an additional h-dependent part $\mathcal{A}_h$ to the total action of the form

$$\mathcal{A}_h = A_{2h} + \sum_{i=2,3} \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4} A_{4h}^{(i)}\,. \tag{3.19}$$

Further writing

$$A_{2h} = ihB_2 + h^2 C_2 + \ldots\,, \tag{3.20}$$

$$A_{4h}^{(i)} = ihB_4^{(i)} + h^2 C_4^{(i)} + \ldots\,, \tag{3.21}$$

we have

$$B_2 = -\frac{1}{g_0^2} \int_x j_0(x)\,, \quad j_\mu(x) = S_2(x)\partial_\mu S_1(x) - S_1(x)\partial_\mu S_2(x)\,, \tag{3.22}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{2g_0^2} \int_x [Q\mathbf{S}(x)]^2\,. \tag{3.23}$$

For the operator 2:

$$B_4^{(2)} = -4 \int_x \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x)\, j_0(x)\,, \tag{3.24}$$

$$C_4^{(2)} = -2 \int_x \left\{ \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x) \left[S_1(x)^2 + S_2(x)^2 \right] + 2 \left[j_0(x) \right]^2 \right\}\,, \tag{3.25}$$

and for the operator 3:

$$B_4^{(3)} = -4 \int_x \partial_0 \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x)\, j_\mu(x)\,, \tag{3.26}$$

$$C_4^{(3)} = -2 \int_x \left\{ \partial_0 \mathbf{S}(x) \cdot \partial_0 \mathbf{S}(x) \left[S_1(x)^2 + S_2(x)^2 \right] + 2 \left[j_0(x) \right]^2 + \left[j_k(x) \right]^2 \right\}\,. \tag{3.27}$$

The h-dependent part of the free energy f_h is defined as in (2.51). Now

$$\langle B_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = 0 = \langle B_4^{(i)} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \forall i\,, \tag{3.28}$$

so we have

$$\chi = -2\sum_{s=1}^{5} F_s \,, \tag{3.29}$$

with

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{V_D}\langle C_2\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,, \tag{3.30}$$

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{V_D}\langle B_2^2\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,, \tag{3.31}$$

$$F_3 = \sum_{i=2,3}\frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4}\frac{1}{V_D}\langle C_4^{(i)}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,, \tag{3.32}$$

$$F_4 = \sum_{i=2,3}\frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4}\frac{1}{V_D}\langle B_2 B_4^{(i)}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,, \tag{3.33}$$

$$F_5 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij}\frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4}\frac{g_4^{(j)}}{4}\frac{1}{V_D}\langle B_4^{(i)} B_4^{(j)}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \,. \tag{3.34}$$

Averaging over the rotations we have simply

$$\frac{1}{V_D}\left[C_2\right]_\Omega = -\frac{1}{n g_0^2} \,, \tag{3.35}$$

and

$$F_1 = -\frac{1}{n g_0^2} \,. \tag{3.36}$$

Next

$$\frac{1}{V_D}\left[B_2^2\right]_\Omega = \frac{4}{n n_1 g_0^4} W \,, \tag{3.37}$$

with W given by

$$W = \frac{1}{V_D}\int_{xy} \partial_0 \mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_0\mathbf{S}(y)\left[\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y) - 1\right] \,. \tag{3.38}$$

This has a perturbative expansion

$$W = g_0^4 W_2 + g_0^6 W_3 + \ldots \tag{3.39}$$

with

$$W_2 = \frac{1}{V_D}\int_{xy}\left[\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\right]\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}(y) \,, \tag{3.40}$$

$$W_3 = \frac{1}{2V_D}\int_{xy}\left[\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\right]\vec{\pi}(x)^2\vec{\pi}(y)^2 \,. \tag{3.41}$$

Expanding (3.31) in a perturbative series

$$F_2 = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} F_{2,r} g_0^{2r} \,, \tag{3.42}$$

we have at leading order

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2,0} &= \frac{2}{nn_1}\langle W_2\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{2(n-2)}{n}\int_x [\partial_0 G(x)]^2 = \frac{2(n-2)}{n}\overline{I}_{21}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

where dimensionally regularized sums $\overline{I}_{nm}$ are formally defined by

$$\overline{I}_{nm} = \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{\left(p_0^2\right)^m}{\left(p^2\right)^n}\,. \tag{3.44}$$

Sums with $m = 0$ were treated by Hasenfratz and Leutwyler [16]; we generalize their methods to sums with $m = 1$ in [9].

At next order

$$F_{2,1} = \frac{2}{nn_1}\left[\langle W_3\rangle_0 - \langle W_2 A_{2,1}\rangle_0^c\right]\,. \tag{3.45}$$

First

$$\langle W_3\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2V_D}\int_{xy}\langle\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\vec{\pi}(x)^2\vec{\pi}(y)^2\rangle_0 = n_1(n-2)\overline{W}\,, \tag{3.46}$$

where

$$\overline{W} = -\int_x G(x)^2\partial_0^2 G(x)\,. \tag{3.47}$$

This 2-loop function, the "massless sunset diagram", is calculated in detail in [9].

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_2 A_{2,1}^{(a)}\rangle_0^c &= \frac{n_1}{2V_D^2}\int_{xyu}\left\langle\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)(\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}(y))\vec{\pi}(u)^2\right\rangle_0^c \\ &= \frac{2n_1^2(n-2)}{V_D^2}\int_{xyu}\partial_0^x\partial_0^y G(x-y)G(x-u)G(y-u) \\ &= \frac{2n_1^2(n-2)}{V_D^2}{\sum_p}'\frac{p_0^2}{\left(p^2\right)^3} = \frac{2n_1^2(n-2)}{V_D}\overline{I}_{31}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_2 A_{2,1}^{(b)}\rangle_0^c &= \frac{1}{8V_D}\int_{xyu}\left[\partial_\mu^u\partial_\mu^v\left\langle\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)(\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}(y))\vec{\pi}(u)^2\vec{\pi}(v)^2\right\rangle_0^c\right]_{v=u} \\ &= \frac{n_1(n-2)}{V_D}\int_{xyu}\partial_\mu^u\partial_\mu^v\Big[2\partial_0^x\partial_0^y G(x-y)G(x-u)G(y-v)G(u-v) \\ &\quad -\partial_0^x G(x-u)\partial_0^y G(y-v)G(x-v)G(y-u)\Big]\Big|_{v=u} \\ &= n_1(n-2)\frac{1}{V_D^2}{\sum_{pq}}'\left[\frac{2p_0^2(p-q)^2}{\left(p^2\right)^3 q^2} + \frac{p_0 q_0(p-q)^2}{\left(p^2\right)^2\left(q^2\right)^2}\right] \\ &= 2n_1(n-2)\left[\overline{I}_{21}\overline{I}_{10} + \overline{I}_{31}\overline{I}_{00} - \overline{I}_{21}^2\right]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Note that $\overline{I}_{00} = -\Box G(0) = -1/V_D$ since the dimensional regularization sets $\delta(0) = 0$.

For the contribution from the 4-derivative terms, averaging over rotations:

$$\left[C_4^{(2)}\right]_\Omega = -\frac{4}{nn_1}\int_x \{n_1\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(x) + 2\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\}\,, \tag{3.50}$$

$$\left[C_4^{(3)}\right]_\Omega = -\frac{4}{nn_1}\int_x \{\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(x) + n\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\}\,. \tag{3.51}$$

So to first order perturbation theory

$$F_{3,1} = \frac{4}{n}\left\{\frac{g_4^{(2)}}{4}\left[\frac{n_1}{V_D} - 2\overline{I}_{11}\right] + \frac{g_4^{(3)}}{4}\left[\frac{1}{V_D} - n\overline{I}_{11}\right]\right\}. \tag{3.52}$$

Finally

$$F_{4,1} = F_{5,1} = 0\,. \tag{3.53}$$

3.4 Summary

Summarizing the previous results, the expansion of the susceptibility with DR is given by

$$\chi = \frac{2}{ng_0^2}\left(1 + g_0^2 R_1 + g_0^4 R_2 + \ldots\right), \tag{3.54}$$

with

$$R_1 = -2(n-2)\overline{I}_{21}\,, \tag{3.55}$$

and

$$R_2 = R_2^{(a)} + R_2^{(b)}\,, \tag{3.56}$$

with

$$R_2^{(a)} = 2(n-2)\left\{-\overline{W} + 2\overline{I}_{21}\left[\overline{I}_{10} - \overline{I}_{21}\right] + \frac{2(n-2)}{V_D}\overline{I}_{31}\right\}, \tag{3.57}$$

$$R_2^{(b)} = -4\left\{\frac{g_4^{(2)}}{4}\left[\frac{n_1}{V_D} - 2\overline{I}_{11}\right] + \frac{g_4^{(3)}}{4}\left[\frac{1}{V_D} - n\overline{I}_{11}\right]\right\}. \tag{3.58}$$

3.5 Case $n = 2$

Note that $R_1 = 0 = R_2^{(a)}$ for $n = 2$. This is easily seen since for this special case the 2-derivative action with chemical potential is simply

$$A = \frac{1}{2g_0^2}\int_x (\partial_\mu\Phi(x) - ih\delta_{\mu 0})^2 = \frac{1}{2g_0^2}\int_x (\partial_\mu\Phi(x))^2 - \frac{h^2}{2g_0^2}V_D\,. \tag{3.59}$$

Therefore there are no corrections to the leading term for the susceptibility

$$\chi = \frac{1}{g_0^2}\,. \tag{3.60}$$

3.6 Case $d = 2$

For $d = 2$ the theory is renormalizable and as before we set the 4-derivative couplings to zero. Renormalization in the minimal subtraction (MS) scheme is achieved by

$$g_0^2 = \mu^{2\epsilon} g_{\rm MS}^2 Z_1 \,, \tag{3.61}$$

with

$$Z_1^{-1} = 1 + \frac{b_0}{2\epsilon} g^2 + \frac{b_1}{4\epsilon} g^4 + \dots \,, \tag{3.62}$$

where b_0, b_1 are as in (2.96).

For $D \sim 2$

$$\overline{I}_{21} \sim -\frac{1}{4\pi} L^{-D+2} \left[\frac{1}{D-2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 + \kappa_{21}(D-2) + \dots \right] , \tag{3.63}$$

where the functions $\gamma_i(\ell)$ are defined in [9].

Next

$$\overline{I}_{10} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} L^{-D+2} \left[\frac{1}{D-2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{V}} + \kappa_{10}(D-2) + \dots \right] , \tag{3.64}$$

$$\overline{I}_{31} = \frac{L^2}{64\pi^2} \left[\gamma_3 + 1 \right] , \tag{3.65}$$

$$\overline{W} = L^{-2D+4} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{(D-2)^2} + \frac{1}{(D-2)} \left(-\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) + \overline{w} + \dots \right] . \tag{3.66}$$

where $\alpha_i(\ell)$ are defined in [16].

In terms of the renormalized coupling

$$\chi = \frac{2}{n g_{\rm MS}^2} \left\{ 1 - b_0 \left(\ln(\mu L_s) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 \right) g_{\rm MS}^2 - b_1 \left(\ln(\mu L_s) + r_2 \right) g_{\rm MS}^4 + \dots \right\} , \tag{3.67}$$

with

$$r_2 = \overline{w} - 2\kappa_{10} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 \right) - 16\pi^2 \frac{(n-2)}{V_D} \overline{I}_{31} \,. \tag{3.68}$$

For completeness we note that the free energy for large h was computed to NLO with DR at infinite volume in [17, 18] with the result in the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} f(h) - f(0) &= -\frac{h^2}{2} \Big[\frac{1}{g^2_{\overline{\rm MS}}(\mu)} - \frac{(n-2)}{2\pi} \left(\ln(\mu/h) + \frac{1}{2} \right) + {\rm O}\left(g^2\right) \Big] \\ &= -\frac{h^2}{2} \Big[\frac{1}{g^2_{\overline{\rm MS}}(h)} - \frac{(n-2)}{4\pi} + {\rm O}\left(g^2\right) \Big] . \end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

Noting

$$\frac{1}{g^2_{\overline{\rm MS}}(h)} = \frac{(n-2)}{2\pi} \Big[\ln(h/\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}) + \frac{1}{n-2} \ln\ln(h/\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}) + \dots \Big] , \tag{3.70}$$

this result can be expressed as

$$f(h) - f(0) = -\frac{(n-2)}{2\pi} \frac{h^2}{2} \Big[\ln \frac{h}{\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}} \sqrt{\rm e}} + \frac{1}{(n-2)} \ln\ln(h/\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}) + \dots \Big] . \tag{3.71}$$

Eq. (3.71) was compared to the result from a non-perturbative computation invoking the Bethe ansatz [17, 18] thereby obtaining the exact ratio of the mass gap to the Λ–parameter $m/\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}$. Later the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations were extended to study the spectrum at finite volume [19]-[22].

3.7 Case $d = 3$

For $d = 3$ the contribution of the 4-derivative terms and are not relevant at $\mathrm{O}\left(L_s^{-2}\right)$ since $R_2^{(b)} = \mathrm{O}\left(L_s^{-3}\right)$ [8]. We remark however that because the theory is non-renormalizable, it is expected that they are necessary to absorb divergences at higher orders.

For the sums contributing to $R_1, R^{(2a)}$ we have

$$\overline{I}_{10} = -\beta_1 L_s^{-1}\,, \tag{3.72}$$

$$\overline{I}_{21} = \frac{1}{8\pi L_s}\left(\gamma_2 - 2\right)\,, \tag{3.73}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3L_s}\beta_1 \text{ for } \ell_1 = \ell_2 = \ell_3\,, \tag{3.74}$$

$$\overline{I}_{31} = \frac{L_s}{64\pi^2}\left(\gamma_3 + 2\right)\,, \tag{3.75}$$

where the functions $\beta_i(\ell), \gamma_i(\ell)$ are defined in [9]. Also $\overline{W}$ has a finite limit for $D = 3$, and the results of numerical evaluation for $\ell = 1, \ell = 2$ are given in [9].

The agreement of R_1, R_2 with the lattice results is evident for $d = 3$ because of the direct relation of the DR sums to the associated coefficients of the lattice sums:

$$\overline{I}_{10} = I_{10;1}/L_s\,,\quad \overline{I}_{21} = I_{21;1}L_s\,,\quad \overline{I}_{31} = I_{31;-1}L_s\,,\quad \overline{W} = W_{3a;2}/L_s^2\,. \tag{3.76}$$

3.8 Case $d = 4$

In $d = 4$ we set $g_0^2 = 1/F^2$ which is not renormalized with DR.

In ref. [9] we have computed the various functions appearing in R_1, R_2. First, for $d = 4$, $\overline{I}_{21}$ has a finite limit as $q \to 0$:

$$\overline{I}_{21} = \frac{1}{8\pi L_s^2}\left(\gamma_2(\ell) - 1\right) + \mathrm{O}\left(D - 4\right)\,. \tag{3.77}$$

For $D \sim 4$ we find for the 1-loop functions,

$$\overline{I}_{10} = -\beta_1(\ell) L_s^{-2} + \mathrm{O}\left(D - 4\right)\,, \tag{3.78}$$

$$\overline{I}_{31} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\left[\ln L_s - \frac{1}{D-4} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_3(\ell)\right] + \mathrm{O}\left(D - 4\right)\,, \tag{3.79}$$

and for the 2-loop function

$$\overline{W} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 L_s^4}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{D-4} - 2\ln L_s\right]\mathcal{W}_0(\ell) + \frac{1}{3\ell}\ln(\hat{\ell}) - \frac{10}{3}\mathcal{W}_1(\ell,\hat{\ell}) + \overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)\right\} + \mathrm{O}\left(D - 4\right)\,, \tag{3.80}$$

[8] Note that for $d = 3$ the couplings $g_4^{(i)}$ have dimension, in contrast to $d = 4$.

with

$$\mathcal{W}_0(\ell) = \frac{5}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma_1(\ell)\right) - \frac{1}{3\ell}\,. \tag{3.81}$$

$\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)$ is given in [9]; also $\mathcal{W}_1(\ell,\hat{\ell})$ is given there albeit that explicit expression is not needed here.

Putting the results together for $D \sim 4$

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(a)} = 2(n-2)\frac{1}{16\pi^2 L_s^4}\Bigg\{ &-\left[\frac{1}{D-4} - 2\ln L_s\right]\left[\frac{5}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\gamma_1(\ell)\right) + \frac{1}{\ell}\left(n-\frac{7}{3}\right)\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\ell}\left(n-\frac{7}{3}\right)\ln(\hat{\ell}) + \frac{10}{3}\mathcal{W}_1(\ell,\hat{\ell}) \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_2(\ell)-1\right)^2 - 4\pi\beta_1\left(\gamma_2(\ell)-1\right) + \frac{1}{2\ell}(n-2)\gamma_3(\ell) - \overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)\Bigg\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

For the 4-derivative terms we should identify

$$\frac{g_4^{(2)}}{4} = -l_1\,, \quad \frac{g_4^{(3)}}{4} = -l_2\,, \tag{3.83}$$

with the bare couplings l_i of Gasser and Leutwyler [2] for the standard $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme:

$$l_i = \frac{w_i}{16\pi^2}\left[\frac{1}{D-4} + \ln\left(\overline{c}\Lambda_i\right)\right]\,, \tag{3.84}$$

where $\ln\overline{c} = \overline{C}$ (defined in (4.3)), and

$$w_1 = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{3}\,, \quad w_2 = \frac{2}{3}\,, \tag{3.85}$$

are as given by [2] in (2.141,2.142)[9]. In order to pick up all terms of $R_2^{(b)}$ finite in the limit $D \to 4$ we need also order $q = D-4$ terms of $\overline{I}_{11}$:

$$\overline{I}_{11} = \frac{1}{L_s^4}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - q\ln L_s\right)\left[\gamma_1(\ell) - \frac{1}{2}\right] + q\mathcal{W}_1(\ell,\hat{\ell})\right\} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^2\right)\,. \tag{3.86}$$

We then get for $D \sim 4$:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(b)} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 L_s^4}\Bigg\{ &2(n-2)\left[\frac{1}{D-4} - 2\ln L_s\right]\left[\frac{5}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\gamma_1(\ell)\right) + \frac{1}{\ell}\left(n-\frac{7}{3}\right)\right] \\ &- 2(n-2)\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\left(n-\frac{7}{3}\right)\ln(\hat{\ell}) + \frac{10}{3}\mathcal{W}_1(\ell,\hat{\ell})\right] \\ &+4w_1\ln\left(\overline{c}\Lambda_1 L_s\right)\left[\frac{(n-1)}{\ell} - \gamma_1(\ell) + \frac{1}{2}\right] + 4w_2\ln\left(\overline{c}\Lambda_2 L_s\right)\left[\frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{n}{2}\left(\gamma_1(\ell) - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\Bigg\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.87}$$

[9] In [2] only the $n = 4$ result is given. Often the notation γ_i is used for w_i above, but we have already used γ_i in the context of 1-loop integrals.

Summing the terms we have for $d = 4$:

$$R_2 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 L_s^4}\left\{-2(n-2)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_2-1\right)^2 + 4\pi\beta_1\left(\gamma_2-1\right) - \frac{1}{2\ell}(n-2)\gamma_3 + \overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)\right]\right.$$
$$\left. +4w_1 \ln\left(\overline{c}\Lambda_1 L_s\right)\left[\frac{(n-1)}{\ell} - \gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right] + 4w_2 \ln\left(\overline{c}\Lambda_2 L_s\right)\left[\frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{n}{2}\left(\gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\right\}. \tag{3.88}$$

Here β_1 and γ_i depend on $\ell = L_0/L_s$.

Note that not only do the poles at $D = 4$ cancel, but also $\mathcal{W}_1(\ell, \hat{\ell})$, hence the physical amplitude R_2 is independent of $\hat{\ell}$, the aspect ratio of the extra unphysical dimensions, as to be expected.

4 Matching the effective actions for $d = 2$ and $d = 4$

4.1 Case $d = 2$

By matching the results for the susceptibility computed using lattice and dimensional regularizations we should obtain the 2-loop relation between the respective renormalized couplings

$$g^2_{\rm latt} = g^2_{\rm MS}\left[1 + X_1 g^2_{\rm MS} + X_2 g^4_{\rm MS} + \dots\right]. \tag{4.1}$$

First noting

$$I_{21;0}(\ell) = \frac{1}{8\pi}\left[\gamma_2 + 2\overline{C} + 5\ln 2\right], \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\overline{C} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln(4\pi) - \gamma_E + 1\right] = -1.476904292\,, \tag{4.3}$$

at leading order we reproduce Parisi's result[10] [23]

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \overline{r}_1 + \frac{1}{2}b_0\gamma_2 \\ &= \frac{b_0}{2}\left[\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) - \gamma_E\right] - \frac{1}{4}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The ratio of Λ parameters is

$$\frac{\Lambda_{\rm latt}}{\Lambda_{\rm MS}} = \exp\left(\frac{X_1}{b_0}\right). \tag{4.5}$$

At next order matching we get

$$X_2 - X_1^2 = \overline{r}_2 + b_1 r_2\,. \tag{4.6}$$

For our purposes it is sufficient to consider the case $\ell = \hat{\ell} = 1$ for which

$$\overline{W} = \frac{1}{D}\left[\overline{I}^2_{10} - \frac{1}{V_D}\overline{I}_{20}\right], \quad (\ell = \hat{\ell} = 1)\,, \tag{4.7}$$

so that

$$\overline{w} = 2\kappa_{10} + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1^2 - \frac{1}{8}\left[\gamma_3 + 1\right], \quad (\ell = \hat{\ell} = 1)\,, \tag{4.8}$$

[10] converted from Pauli Villars regularization to DR

and from (3.68) (noting $\gamma_s = (2/d)(s-1)\alpha_{s-1}$ for $\ell = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{8} - 16\pi^2 \frac{(n-2)}{V_D}\overline{I}_{31} \\ &= -0.10222108289891283671973 92 - 16\pi^2 \frac{(n-2)}{V_D}\overline{I}_{31}\,, \qquad \ell = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

On the lattice side we get for $\ell = 1$ from (2.111)

$$\overline{r}_2 = -\frac{5}{96} - (n-2)\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}I_{10;0} - I_{20;-2} - \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} + a_1\right) + 4(n-2)^2 I_{31;-2} \tag{4.10}$$

where we used

$$W_{3a;0} = \frac{1}{2}I_{10;0}^2 - \frac{1}{2}I_{10;0}I_{22;0} - \frac{1}{2}I_{20;-2} + \frac{1}{2}a_1\,, \qquad \ell = 1 \tag{4.11}$$

and a_1 is the infinite-volume quantity

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -\frac{1}{4}\int_{k,l} \frac{E_{k+l} - E_k - E_l}{E_k E_l E_{k+l}^2} \sum_\mu \widehat{(k+l)}_\mu^4 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_x \left(G(x) - G(0)\right)^2 \Box_0^2 G(x) = 0.046163629243917776 2(1) \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

(with $E_k = \hat{k}^2$). Inserting the numerical values one gets

$$\overline{r}_2 = -\frac{5}{96} - (n-2)\,0.025140548202860759 00(1) + 4(n-2)^2 I_{31;-2}\,, \quad \ell = 1\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Noting

$$I_{31;-2}(\ell) = \frac{1}{L_s^2 \ell}\overline{I}_{31}\,, \tag{4.14}$$

we obtain

$$X_2 - X_1^2 = -\frac{5}{96} - 1.0947301436539277\, b_1\,. \tag{4.15}$$

X_2 was first computed by Falcioni and Treves [24]:

$$X_2 - X_1^2 = -\frac{5}{96} + b_1\left[h_1 - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_E\right]\,, \tag{4.16}$$

with the value of h_1 given in [8][11]

$$h_1 = -0.088766484(1)\,, \tag{4.17}$$

giving

$$X_2 - X_1^2 = -\frac{5}{96} - 1.094730144(1)\, b_1\,. \tag{4.18}$$

The perfect agreement of our result (4.15) with the result obtained above by an independent method gives an additional check on our formulae in subsections 2.3.3, 3.4 which are valid for arbitrary $d \geq 2$.

[11] $h_1 = 1/2 - 4\pi^2(a_1 - 1/32)$ with a_1 given in (4.12). The value of h_1 given in [24] was not very precise.

4.2 Case $d = 4$

The equality of the lattice and DR results for $d = 4$ at sub-leading order one requires

$$I_{21;2} = \frac{1}{8\pi}(\gamma_2 - 1)\,, \tag{4.19}$$

which we have proven in [9].

Comparing (2.87)-(2.89) with (3.88), the coefficients of $\ln(L_s)$ agree due to the relation (see [9]):

$$I_{11;4} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\,. \tag{4.20}$$

For general n the matching equation has the form

$$H_2\,\overline{g}^{(2)}_{4,0} + H_3\,\overline{g}^{(3)}_{4,0} + H_4\,g^{(4)}_{4,0} + H_5\,g^{(5)}_{4,0} + H_0 = 0\,, \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$\overline{g}^{(2)}_{4,0} = g^{(2)}_{4,0} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}w_1\ln(a\bar{c}\Lambda_1) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}w_1\ln\left(\frac{M_2}{\bar{c}\Lambda_1}\right)\,, \tag{4.22}$$

$$\overline{g}^{(3)}_{4,0} = g^{(3)}_{4,0} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}w_2\ln(a\bar{c}\Lambda_2) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}w_2\ln\left(\frac{M_3}{\bar{c}\Lambda_2}\right)\,, \tag{4.23}$$

and

$$H_0 = \widehat{H}_0 + 2(n-2)\left[\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\overline{\mathcal{W}} - 2(I_{10;2} - I_{21;2})I_{21;2}\right] - \frac{(n-2)^2}{16\pi^2\ell}\gamma_3\,, \tag{4.24}$$

where we have used another identity:

$$I_{10;2} = -\beta_1\,. \tag{4.25}$$

So we have

$$H_0 = -(n-2)w + w' I_{11;4} + w''\frac{1}{\ell}\,, \tag{4.26}$$

with

$$w = 2W_{3a;4} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\overline{\mathcal{W}} - \left(2I_{10;0} - \frac{1}{4}\right)I_{10;4}\,, \tag{4.27}$$

$$w' = \widehat{w}'\,, \tag{4.28}$$

$$w'' = \frac{1}{24}(3\,n^2 + n - 12)I_{10;0} - (n-2)\left\{\left(n - \frac{4}{3}\right)I_{32;0} - \frac{1}{6}I_{33;0} + \frac{1}{24}\right\} + 4(n-2)^2 i_{31;0}\,, \tag{4.29}$$

where $\widehat{w}'$ is given in (2.148) and $i_{31;0}$ is defined by

$$i_{31;0} = I_{31;0}(\ell) - \frac{1}{64\pi^2}\gamma_3(\ell)\,. \tag{4.30}$$

Now we find numerically

$$i_{31;0} = 0.0021185641866344774844 5\,, \quad \text{independent of } \ell\,, \tag{4.31}$$

so that w'' is independent of ℓ (as is also w').

Now the coefficients H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 in (4.21) only involve the three linearly independent ℓ–dependent functions $I_{00;4} = -1/\ell$, $I_{11;4}$ and $J_{31;4} - 2I_{22;4}$ so that for consistency a relation for w in (4.27) of the form

$$w = \frac{d_1}{\ell} + d_2 I_{11;4} + d_3 \left(J_{31;4} - 2I_{22;4}\right) \tag{4.32}$$

should hold with some ℓ-independent constants d_1, d_2, d_3. From numerical data sets with $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ one finds $d_1 = -0.00472740$, $d_2 = 0.00026214$ and $d_3 = 0.00000028$. Inserting these values into the relation with $\ell = 4$ we indeed find consistency within the numerical errors (with the difference in the 6th significant digit). We will assume that actually $d_3 = 0$, and with this one obtains from $\ell = 1, 2$ the values $d_1 = -0.00472752$, $d_2 = 0.00026215$.

Let us define

$$G_1 \equiv -n_1 \overline{g}^{(2)}_{4,0} - \overline{g}^{(3)}_{4,0} + \frac{1}{6}(n_1 + 2) g^{(4)}_{4,0} + \frac{1}{2}\, n_1 g^{(5)}_{4,0}\,, \tag{4.33}$$

$$G_2 \equiv 2\overline{g}^{(2)}_{4,0} + (n_1 + 1)\overline{g}^{(3)}_{4,0} + \frac{2}{3}(n_1 + 2) g^{(4)}_{4,0} + (3\, n_1 - 1) g^{(5)}_{4,0}\,. \tag{4.34}$$

Then matching requires

$$0 = G_1 + q^{(1)}_0 + (n-2) q^{(1)}_1 + (n-2)^2 q^{(1)}_2\,, \tag{4.35}$$

$$0 = G_2 + q^{(2)}_0 + (n-2) q^{(2)}_1\,, \tag{4.36}$$

$$0 = 2 g^{(5)}_{4,0} - d_3\,, \tag{4.37}$$

with

$$q^{(1)}_0 = \frac{1}{12}\, I_{10;0}\,, \tag{4.38}$$

$$q^{(1)}_1 = -\frac{1}{24} + \frac{13}{24}\, I_{10;0} - \frac{2}{3}\, I_{32;0} + \frac{1}{6}\, I_{33;0} - d_1\,, \tag{4.39}$$

$$q^{(1)}_2 = \frac{1}{8} I_{10;0} - I_{32;0} + 4 i_{31;0}\,, \tag{4.40}$$

$$q^{(2)}_0 = -\frac{3}{16} + \frac{5}{6}\, I_{10;0}\,, \tag{4.41}$$

$$q^{(2)}_1 = -\frac{5}{48} + \frac{2}{3}\, I_{10;0} - \frac{8}{3}\, I_{32;0} + \frac{2}{3}\, I_{33;0} - d_2\,. \tag{4.42}$$

The numerical values are

$$q^{(1)}_0 = \quad 0.0129111158\,, \tag{4.43}$$

$$q^{(1)}_1 = \quad 0.0434608716\,, \tag{4.44}$$

$$q^{(1)}_2 = \quad 0.011640543735\,, \tag{4.45}$$

$$q^{(2)}_0 = -0.0583888414\,, \tag{4.46}$$

$$q^{(2)}_1 = -0.0152288420\,. \tag{4.47}$$

For the special case $n = 2$ the solution is:

$$g^{(4)}_{4,0} + g^{(5)}_{4,0} = \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{3} I_{10;0}\,, \quad (n = 2)\,, \tag{4.48}$$

$$\overline{g}^{(2)}_{4,0} + \overline{g}^{(3)}_{4,0} = \frac{1}{32} - \frac{1}{12}\, I_{10;0}\,, \quad (n = 2)\,. \tag{4.49}$$

Note in the continuum limit (e.g. for DR) $A^{(2)}_4 = A^{(3)}_4$ for $n = 2$.

5 The mass gap

The mass of the O(n) vector particle in a periodic spatial volume L_s^{d-1} was computed with lattice regularization for arbitrary d in ref. [7] up to second order in perturbation theory. It takes the form

$$m_1 = \frac{n_1 g_0^2 a^{d-2}}{2L_s^{d-1}} \left\{ 1 + g_0^2 c_2(a/L_s) + g_0^4 \left[c_3(a/L_s) + \sum_{j=2}^{5} g^{(j)}_{4,0} d^{(j)}_3(a/L_s) \right] + \mathrm{O}\left(g_0^6\right) \right\}\,, \tag{5.1}$$

where the coefficients $c_2(a/L_s), c_3(a/L_s), d_3(a/L_s)$ [12] are given in appendix B of [7]; they depend on d, and for the case $d = 2$ the coefficients c_2, c_3 agree with those previously computed in [8].

Here we will only discuss the case $d = 4$. Results are often quoted in terms of the moment of inertia Θ which is simply related to the mass gap through

$$m_1 = \frac{(n-1)}{2\Theta}\,. \tag{5.2}$$

Θ has a perturbative expansion of the form

$$\frac{\Theta}{F^2 L_s^3} = 1 + \Theta_1 (FL_s)^{-2} + \Theta_2 (FL_s)^{-4} + \ldots \tag{5.3}$$

After renormalization of the couplings as in subsect. 2.4.3, the moment of inertia in the continuum limit is given by (5.3) with coefficients determined from the lattice computation taken from eq. (6.20) of [7]

$$\Theta_1^{\mathrm{latt}} = 0.225784959441\,(n-2)\,, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_2^{\mathrm{latt}} = &- \frac{0.8375369106}{12\pi^2} \left[(3\,n - 10) \ln(M_2 L_s) + 2\,n \ln(M_3 L_s) \right] \\ &+ 0.55835794046 (n+1) g^{(4)}_{4,0} \\ &+ (1.11639602502\,n - 0.55771822866) g^{(5)}_{4,0} \\ &- 0.0489028095 + 0.0101978424\,(n-2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

[12] keeping the notation of [7] and not to be confused with previously mentioned quantities with the same letters!

Using the definitions in (4.22),(4.23) and (4.34) we can rewrite this involving DR scales:

$$\Theta_2^{\rm latt} = \overline{\theta}_2 - \frac{0.8375369106}{12\pi^2}\left[(3\,n-10)\ln(\overline{c}\Lambda_1 L_s) + 2\,n\ln(\overline{c}\Lambda_2 L_s)\right]\,, \tag{5.6}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\theta}_2 =& 0.8375369106\,G_2 - 1.396214707(n-2)g_{4,0}^{(5)} \\ & - 0.0489028095 + 0.0101978424\,(n-2)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Finally using eq. (4.36) (with (4.46),(4.47)) which was obtained by matching lattice and DR results for the free energy, and assuming $g_{4,0}^{(5)} = 0$, we obtain

$$\overline{\theta}_2 = 0.0229525597\,(n-2)\,. \tag{5.8}$$

Note that the $(n-2)^0$ terms in $\overline{\theta}_2$ cancel to our numerical precision of 10 digits.

The continuum limit of Θ_i should of course be regularization independent. Unfortunately (5.8) does not agree with the result for the moment of inertia previously computed by Hasenfratz [5] using dimensional regularization. For this reason we recomputed the mass gap with DR using free boundary conditions in the time direction in an analogous way to that used for the lattice computation. The computation is rather lengthy and here we only present the final result (for arbitrary d):

$$m_1 = \frac{n_1 g_0^2}{2\overline{V}_D}\left[1 + g_0^2\triangle^{(2)} + g_0^4\triangle^{(3)} + \dots\right]\,, \tag{5.9}$$

(here $\overline{V}_D = L_s^{d-1}\widehat{L}^q = L_s^{D-1}\hat{\ell}^q$), with

$$\triangle^{(2)} = (n-2)R(0)\,, \tag{5.10}$$

$$\triangle^{(3)} = (n-2)\left[2W + \frac{3}{4\overline{V}_D}\overline{I}_{10:D-1} + (n-3)R(0)^2\right] - 4\,(2l_1 + nl_2)\,\ddot{R}(0)\,. \tag{5.11}$$

Here $R(z)$ is the propagator for an infinitely long strip without the slow modes $\mathbf{p} = 0$ [13]:

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2\overline{V}_D}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\neq 0}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\omega(\mathbf{p})|z_0| + i\mathbf{pz}}}{\omega(\mathbf{p})}\,,\ \omega(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2}\,. \tag{5.12}$$

The singularity of $R(z)$ at $z = 0$ is regularized with DR. Further $\overline{I}_{10:D-1}$ is the regularized sum $\overline{I}_{10}$ in $D-1$ dimensions and

$$W = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}z_0\int_{\mathbf{z}} R(z)^2\partial_0^2 R(z)\,. \tag{5.13}$$

The computation of W is the most involved part and we discuss this in detail in [9].

Returning again to the case $d = 4$, the moment of inertia has an expansion

$$\frac{\Theta}{F^2 L_s^3} = 1 + \Theta_1^{\rm DR}(FL_s)^{-2} + \Theta_2^{\rm DR}(FL_s)^{-4} + \dots \tag{5.14}$$

[13] Our $R(z)$ is closely related to $\bar{G}^*(z)$ of [5].

with

$$\Theta_1^{\rm DR} = -(n-2)L_s^2 R(0)\,, \tag{5.15}$$

$$\Theta_2^{\rm DR} = (n-2)L_s^4\left[-2W + R(0)^2 - \frac{3}{4\overline{V}_D^2}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\neq 0}\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}^2}\right] + 4\,(2l_1+nl_2)\,L_s^4\ddot{R}(0)\,. \tag{5.16}$$

In [9] we find

$$W = \frac{5}{24\pi^2}\ddot{R}(0)\left[\frac{1}{D-4} - \ln L_s\right] + c_w L_s^{-4}\,, \tag{5.17}$$

with[14]

$$c_w = 0.0986829798\,. \tag{5.18}$$

Adding the counter-terms using (3.84), the $1/(D-4)$ singularities cancel (and also the $\hat{\ell}$-dependent terms coming from $\mathrm{O}\,(D-4)$ contributions in $\ddot{R}(0)$), and we obtain

$$\Theta_2^{\rm DR} = (n-2)\theta_2 + \frac{1}{12\pi^2}L_s^4\ddot{R}(0)\left[(3n-10)\ln(\overline{c}\Lambda_1 L_s) + 2n\ln(\overline{c}\Lambda_2 L_s)\right]\,, \tag{5.19}$$

with[15]

$$\theta_2 = -2c_w + L_s^4 R(0)^2 + \frac{3}{4}L_s\beta_1^{(3)}\,, \tag{5.20}$$

where in the notation of [16]

$$\beta_1^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{\overline{V}_D}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\neq 0}\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}^2}\,, \tag{5.21}$$

where the sum is over $3+q$ dimensional momenta $\mathbf{p}$. With dimensional regularization

$$\beta_1^{(3)} = -L_s R(0)\,. \tag{5.22}$$

Putting in the numerical values [9]

$$L_s^2 R(0) = -0.22578495944075803348326649 17\,, \tag{5.23}$$

$$L_s^4\ddot{R}(0) = -0.83753691069608187838689482 93\,, \tag{5.24}$$

we obtain

$$\theta_2 = 0.0229516079\,, \tag{5.25}$$

completely consistent with the lattice result converted to DR in (5.8). Note however that values of $\theta_2, \overline{\theta}_2$ differ in the 6'th decimal place, which indicates that at some stage(s) we have overestimated our numerical precision.

[14] The value $c_w = 0.029492025146$ given in [5] differs from ours.

[15] In ref. [5] the term in (5.20) involving $\beta_1^{(3)}$ is missing.

6 Conclusions

We have established relations between the 4-derivative couplings of effective Lagrangians involving fields in the vector representation of O(n) using both lattice and dimensional regularizations. This allows translation of results obtained on the lattice to those of DR more commonly used in phenomenology. Computations on the lattice are usually algebraically more complicated but conceptually clear.

One application is to the computation of the mass gap of massless 2-flavor QCD in the δ–regime. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta = F^2 L_s^3 \Bigg[& 1 + 0.4515699182\, \frac{1}{F^2 L_s^2} \\ & + \frac{1}{F^4 L_s^4} \left(\theta - 0.8375369109 \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \left\{ \ln(\Lambda_1 L_s) + 4 \ln(\Lambda_2 L_s) \right\} \right) + \ldots \Bigg] \,,\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= 2\theta_2 + \frac{5}{6\pi^2} L_s^4 \ddot{R}(0) \overline{C} \\ &= 0.1503452489\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Note Hasenfratz [5] obtained $\theta = 0.088431628$.

It is convenient to rewrite (6.1) by using the low-energy parameters defined in [2],

$$\bar{l}_i \equiv \ln \frac{\Lambda_i^2}{m_\pi^2}\,, \tag{6.3}$$

where m_π is the physical pion mass. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta = F^2 L_s^3 \Bigg[& 1 + \frac{0.45157}{F^2 L_s^2} \\ & + \frac{1}{F^4 L_s^4} \left(0.1503 - 0.0283 \left[\bar{l}_2 + \frac{1}{4}\bar{l}_1 + \frac{5}{2} \ln\left(L_s m_\pi\right) \right] \right) + \ldots \Bigg] \,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

The QCDSF collaboration [25–27] compared their data for the mass gap from numerical simulations of lattice QCD to (6.1) using values

$$\bar{l}_1 = -0.4 \pm 0.6\,, \qquad \bar{l}_2 = 4.3 \pm 0.1\,. \tag{6.5}$$

taken from [28]. They found satisfactory agreement with the analytic result and our new value for θ doesn't change this conclusion.

Although measuring the low lying spectrum is among the simplest and cleanest numerical problems, a difficulty is that the box size needs to reach 3 fm or larger. This is suggested by the NLO correction which is 38%, 26% and 15% of the leading order for $L_s = 2.5$, 3, 4 fermi respectively, where for the estimates we have used the value $F = 86.2$ MeV from Colangelo and Dürr [29]. The NNLO correction is unexpectedly small: -0.6%, -0.7% and -0.5% at the same lattice sizes. Note however, that this is due to the cancellation of the two terms in (6.4), and the smallness of the NNLO correction does not indicate the smallness of the next, unknown correction.

Note that the combination $\bar{l}_2 + \bar{l}_1/4$ enters with a small coefficient, whose value e.g. for $L_s = 3\,\mathrm{fm}$ is -0.0095. As a consequence, the mass gap is not sensitive to these parameters. For the same reason, however, it provides a clean way to obtain the value of F, in particular the constant $\bar{l}_4$ which controls the ratio F_π/F close to the chiral limit. At the physical pion mass the sensitivity of the mass gap in the delta regime to this parameter is roughly $0.2\,\bar{l}_4$. Alternatively, knowing F, one can estimate the corresponding lattice artifacts, the goodness of the chiral extrapolation, etc.

Numerical simulations of lattice QCD in the δ–regime potentially still give a good possibility to constrain the LE constants of χPT. The mass gap is unfortunately only sensitive to the decay constant F, and it remains a challenge to find other observables which are sensitive to the $\bar{l}_i$ and also accurately measurable in numerical simulations.

The susceptibility discussed in this paper could also serve as such an alternative quantity. To use this one has to consider massless Ginsparg-Wilson fermions (having exact chiral symmetry [30]) and using the associated conserved currents [31, 32]. This choice has the advantage that one has an extra parameter, ℓ, which affects the sensitivity to the LEC's $\bar{l}_1$ and $\bar{l}_2$. Writing the susceptibility for $n = 4$ as

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2F^2}\left[1 + \frac{1}{F^2L^2}e_1 + \frac{1}{F^4L^4}\left(e_2 + d_1\bar{l}_1 + d_2\bar{l}_2\right) + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{F^6L^6}\right)\right], \tag{6.6}$$

one has (cf. (3.54), (3.55) and (3.88))

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}(\gamma_2 - 1)\,, \\ d_1 &= -\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left(\gamma_1 - \frac{3}{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ d_2 &= -\frac{1}{6\pi^2}\left(\gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2\ell} - \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

The shape dependence of the coefficients e_1, d_1, d_2 is illustrated[16] in table 1. As one can see, the sensitivity to $\bar{l}_i$ increases with decreasing ℓ. This can perhaps be understood: at $h > 0$ for large ℓ (large time direction) the state with maximal Q_{12} in an isospin multiplet is dominating, while decreasing ℓ all other states from the given multiplets start to influence the free energy, and because of nearly degenerate states this increases the susceptibility. Note, however, that the NLO coefficient $e_1(\ell)$ also increases (although not as fast as the NNLO coefficients) and at fixed size $L_s = L$ one cannot trust the expansion for too small values of ℓ.

Acknowledgments

Christoph Weiermann participated at an early stage of these calculations. We thank him for the collaboration. We also would like to thank Janos Balog, Gilberto Colangelo, Peter Hasenfratz, Heiri Leutwyler and Martin Lüscher for useful discussions.

[16] We did not calculate the 2-loop contribution $\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)$ (needed for e_2) for $\ell < 1$.

ℓ	e_1	d_1	d_2
3	−0.548430	−0.000035	−0.014214
2	−0.215019	0.003484	−0.007174
1	0.140461	0.014776	0.016887
0.5	0.666497	0.069766	0.194629
0.25	2.666667	0.761772	2.878218

Table 1. The shape dependence of the coefficients in (6.7).

A The terms $B_4^{(i)}$ and $C_4^{(i)}$

The terms $B_4^{(i)}$ and $C_4^{(i)}$ appearing in (2.48) are given by

$$B_4^{(1)} = B_4^{(5a)} + B_4^{(1a)}\,, \tag{A.1}$$

$$B_4^{(1a)} = 4\sum_{xk}(\Box_k S_1 \nabla_0 S_2 - \Box_k S_2 \nabla_0 S_1)\,, \tag{A.2}$$

$$C_4^{(1)} = C_4^{(5a)} + C_4^{(1a)}\,, \tag{A.3}$$

$$C_4^{(1a)} = \sum_{xk}\{(2S_1 + \Box_0 S_1)\Box_k S_1 + (2S_2 + \Box_0 S_2)\Box_k S_2\}\,, \tag{A.4}$$

where $\nabla_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_0 + \partial_0^*)$ is the symmetric derivative and $\Box_\mu = \partial_\mu \partial_\mu^\star$. Here and in the rest of this section we have suppressed the argument of the fields e.g. $S_i = S_i(x)$. Also below we introduce the notation $S_i' = S_i(x+\hat{0})$ and $S_i'' = S_i(x+2\hat{0})$.

$$B_4^{(2)} = -4\sum_x (S_1 S_2' - S_2 S_1')\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}\cdot\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}\,, \tag{A.5}$$

$$C_4^{(2)} = -\sum_x \left\{4(S_1 S_2' - S_2 S_1')^2 + 2(S_1 S_1' + S_2 S_2')\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}\cdot\partial_\mu \mathbf{S}\right\}\,. \tag{A.6}$$

$$B_4^{(3)} = B_4^{(4a)} + B_4^{(3a)}\,, \tag{A.7}$$

$$B_4^{(3a)} = -4\sum_{xk}(S_1'\partial_k S_2 - S_2'\partial_k S_1)\partial_0 \mathbf{S}\cdot\partial_k \mathbf{S}\,, \tag{A.8}$$

$$C_4^{(3)} = C_4^{(4a)} + C_4^{(3a)}\,, \tag{A.9}$$

$$C_4^{(3a)} = 2\sum_{xk}\left[(S_1'\partial_k S_1 + S_2'\partial_k S_2)\partial_0 \mathbf{S}\cdot\partial_k \mathbf{S} - (S_1'\partial_k S_2 - S_2'\partial_k S_1)^2\right]\,. \tag{A.10}$$

$$B_4^{(4a)} = -4\sum_x (S_1 S_2' - S_2 S_1')\partial_0 \mathbf{S}\cdot\partial_0 \mathbf{S}\,, \tag{A.11}$$

$$C_4^{(4a)} = -\sum_x \left\{2(S_1 S_1' + S_2 S_2')\partial_0 \mathbf{S}\cdot\partial_0 \mathbf{S} + 4(S_1 S_2' - S_2 S_1')^2\right\}\,. \tag{A.12}$$

$$B_4^{(5a)} = -4\sum_x (\partial_0^* S_1 \partial_0 S_2 - \partial_0^* S_2 \partial_0 S_1)\,, \tag{A.13}$$

$$C_4^{(5a)} = -2\sum_x \{-(S_1\Box_0 S_1 + S_2\Box_0 S_2) + 2(\partial_0 S_1 \partial_0^* S_1 + \partial_0 S_2 \partial_0^* S_2)\}\,, \tag{A.14}$$

$$B_4^{(5c)} = B_4^{(5a)} + B_4^{(5d)}\,, \tag{A.15}$$

$$B_4^{(5d)} = -4\sum_x \left\{[S_1'' - S_1']\partial_k^2 S_2 - [S_2'' - S_2']\partial_k^2 S_1\right\}\,, \tag{A.16}$$

$$C_4^{(5c)} = C_4^{(5a)} + C_4^{(5d)}\,, \tag{A.17}$$

$$C_4^{(5d)} = 2\sum_{xk} \left\{[2S_1'' - S_1']\partial_k^2 S_1 + [2S_2'' - S_2']\partial_k^2 S_2\right\}\,. \tag{A.18}$$

B Some lattice momentum sums

We define the following lattice sums:

$$I_{nm} \equiv \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{\left(\hat{p}_0^2\right)^m}{(\hat{p}^2)^n}\,, \tag{B.1}$$

$$J_{nm} \equiv \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m \sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^4}{(\hat{p}^2)^n}\,, \tag{B.2}$$

$$K_{nm} \equiv \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m (\sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^4)^2}{(\hat{p}^2)^n}\,, \tag{B.3}$$

$$L_{nm} \equiv \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m}{(\hat{p}^2)^n} \sum_{\mu\nu} \cos(p_\mu - p_\nu)\hat{p}_\mu^2 \hat{p}_\nu^2\,, \tag{B.4}$$

$$J_{nmk} \equiv \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m \sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^{2k}}{(\hat{p}^2)^n}\,. \tag{B.5}$$

The following momentum sums which appear in our computation are expressed in terms of these:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_1 &\equiv \frac{1}{V^2}{\sum_{pq}}' \frac{\hat{p}_0^2 (\widehat{p+q})^2}{(\hat{p}^2)^2 \hat{q}^2} \\ &= I_{11}I_{10} + I_{21}I_{00} - \frac{d_s+1}{2d_s} I_{22}I_{11} - \frac{1}{2d_s} I_{11}I_{00} + \frac{1}{2d_s} I_{11}^2 + \frac{1}{2d_s} I_{22}I_{00}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2 &\equiv \frac{1}{V^2}{\sum_{pq}}' \frac{\sin^2 p_0 \left(\widehat{p+q}\right)^2}{\left(\hat{p}^2\right)^3 \hat{q}^2} \\ &= I_{10}\left(I_{21} - \frac{1}{4}I_{22}\right) + I_{00}\left(I_{31} - \frac{1}{4}I_{32}\right) - \frac{1}{2}I_{11}\left(I_{32} - \frac{1}{4}I_{33}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2d_s}\left(I_{11} - I_{00}\right)\left(I_{21} - \frac{1}{4}I_{22} - I_{32} + \frac{1}{4}I_{33}\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

The expressions for $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ are valid for a spatially symmetric volume, $V = L_s^{d_s} L_t$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_3 &\equiv \frac{1}{V^2}\sum_{pq}{}' \frac{\sin p_0 \sin q_0 \left(\widehat{p+q}\right)^2}{\left(\hat{p}^2\right)^2\left(\hat{q}^2\right)^2} \\ &= 2\left(\frac{1}{V}\sum_{p}{}' \frac{\sin^2 p_0}{\left(\hat{p}^2\right)^2}\right)^2 = 2\left(I_{21}-\frac{1}{4}I_{22}\right)^2 .\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_4 = L_{21} &= \frac{1}{V}\sum_{p}{}' \frac{\hat{p}_0^2}{(\hat{p}^2)^2}\sum_{\mu\nu}\cos(p_\mu - p_\nu)\hat{p}_\mu^2\hat{p}_\nu^2 \\ &= I_{01} - J_{11} + \frac{1}{4}K_{21} + J_{213} - \frac{1}{4}J_{214} .\end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_5 &= \frac{1}{V}\sum_{p}{}' \frac{\sin^2 p_0}{(\hat{p}^2)^3}\sum_{\mu\nu}\cos(p_\mu - p_\nu)\hat{p}_\mu^2\hat{p}_\nu^2 = L_{31} - \frac{1}{4}L_{32} \\ &= I_{11} - J_{21} + \frac{1}{4}K_{31} + J_{313} - \frac{1}{4}J_{314} - \frac{1}{4}\left[I_{12} - J_{22} + \frac{1}{4}K_{32} + J_{323} - \frac{1}{4}J_{324}\right] .\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_6 &\equiv \frac{1}{V}\sum_{p}{}' \frac{1}{\hat{p}^2}\sum_{k}\left[2\cos(2p_0) - \cos(p_0)\right]\cos(p_k)\hat{p}_k^2 \\ &= I_{00} - \frac{7}{2}I_{01} + I_{02} - \frac{1}{2}J_{10} + \frac{7}{4}J_{11} - \frac{1}{2}J_{12} - I_{11} + 4I_{12} - \frac{11}{4}I_{13} + \frac{1}{2}I_{14} .\end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_7 &\equiv \frac{1}{V}\sum_{p}{}' \sum_{k}\frac{\left(\mathrm{e}^{-ip_0}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-i2p_0}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{ip_k}-1\right)^2}{(\hat{p}^2)^2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{V}\sum_{p}{}' \sum_{k}\frac{\hat{p}_k^2\cos p_k\left(\cos 3p_0 - \cos 2p_0 - \cos p_0 + 1\right)}{(\hat{p}^2)^2} \\ &= 2I_{11} - \frac{5}{2}I_{12} + \frac{1}{2}I_{13} - 2I_{22} + \frac{7}{2}I_{23} - \frac{7}{4}I_{24} + \frac{1}{4}I_{25} - J_{21} + \frac{5}{4}J_{22} - \frac{1}{4}J_{23} .\end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

C Correlators appearing in F_r with lattice regularization

C.1 Correlators appearing in $F_{1,0}$ and $F_{1,1}$

First

$$\langle U_1\rangle_0 = n_1\frac{1}{V}\sum_{p}{}' \frac{\hat{p}_0^2}{\hat{p}^2} = n_1 I_{11} , \tag{C.1}$$

where I_{nm} are defined in (B.1). Next

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U_2\rangle_0 &= \partial_0^x\partial_0^y \frac{1}{4V}\sum_x \langle\vec{\pi}(x)^2\vec{\pi}(y)^2\rangle_0\Big|_{y=x} = \frac{n_1}{2}\partial_0^x\partial_0^y\left[\frac{1}{V}\sum_x G(x-y)^2\right]\Big|_{y=x} \\ &= \frac{n_1}{V^2}\sum_{pq}{}' \frac{1-\cos(p_0+q_0)}{\hat{p}^2\hat{q}^2} = n_1 I_{11}\left(I_{10} - \frac{1}{4}I_{11}\right) .\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

$$\langle U_1 A_{2,0}\rangle_0^c = n_1 I_{11}\,, \tag{C.3}$$

$$\langle U_1 A_{2,1}^{(a)}\rangle_0^c = -n_1\left(1-\frac{n_1}{V}\right) I_{21}\,, \tag{C.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U_1 A_{2,1}^{(b)}\rangle_0^c &= \frac{1}{8V}\sum_{xu}\partial_\mu^u\partial_\mu^v\langle\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\vec{\pi}(u)^2\vec{\pi}(v)^2\rangle_0^c\Big|_{v=u}\\ &= \frac{n_1}{V}\sum_{xu}\partial_\mu^u\partial_\mu^v\left\{\partial_0^x G(x-u)\partial_0^x G(x-v)G(u-v)\right\}\Big|_{v=u}\\ &= n_1\mathcal{F}_1\,,\end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1$ is given by (B.6). Also[17]

$$\langle U_1 A_{4,1}^{(1)}\rangle_0^c = 2n_1 I_{01}\,, \tag{C.6}$$

$$\langle U_1 A_{4,1}^{(i)}\rangle_0^c = 0\,,\quad i=2,3,4\,, \tag{C.7}$$

$$\langle U_1 A_{4,1}^{(5a)}\rangle_0^c = 2n_1 J_{21}\,, \tag{C.8}$$

$$\langle U_1 A_{4,1}^{(5b)}\rangle_0^c = 2n_1 I_{01}\,, \tag{C.9}$$

$$\langle U_1 A_{4,1}^{(5c)}\rangle_0^c = 2n_1\sum_x \partial_0^*\partial_\mu\partial_\mu G(x)\partial_0^*\partial_\nu\partial_\nu G(x) = 2n_1\mathcal{F}_4\,, \tag{C.10}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_4$ is given by (B.9).

C.2 Correlators appearing in $F_{2,0}$ and $F_{2,1}$

Firstly

$$\langle W_2\rangle_0 = n_1(n_1-1)\left(I_{21}-\frac{1}{4}I_{22}\right)\,. \tag{C.11}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}\langle W_3\rangle_0 &= \frac{n_1}{V}\sum_{xy}G(x-y)\left\{n_1 G(x-y)\nabla_0^x\nabla_0^y G(x-y) + 2\left[\nabla_0^x G(x-y)\right]\left[\nabla_0^y G(x-y)\right]\right\}\\ &= -n_1^2\sum_x G(x)^2\nabla_0^2 G(x) - 2n_1\sum_x\left[\nabla_0 G(x)\right]^2 G(x)\\ &= n_1(n_1-1)W_{3a} + \frac{1}{2}n_1 W_{3c}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

[17] Note that one can obtain the results of insertions in eqs. (C.3) and (C.6)-(C.10) by observing that $\langle X A_{2,0}\rangle_0^c$ inserts for each propagator appearing in $\langle X\rangle_0$ a factor 1, i.e. simply counts the number of propagators in $\langle X\rangle_0$. Similarly, for the other operators the corresponding insertions are $A_{4,1}^{(1)} \to 2\hat{p}^2$, $A_{4,1}^{(5a)} \to 2\sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^4/\hat{p}^2$, and $A_{4,1}^{(5c)} \to 2\sum_{\mu\nu}\cos(p_\mu - p_\nu)\hat{p}_\mu^2\hat{p}_\nu^2/\hat{p}^2$.

where W_{3a}, W_{3c} are defined in (2.83),(2.84) respectively. For the connected correlators we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle W_2 A_{2,0}\rangle_0^c &= n_1 \sum_{xy\mu}\Big\{ n_1 G(x-y)\partial^*_\mu \nabla_0 G(x-z)\partial^*_\mu \nabla_0 G(y-z) \\ &\quad - n_1 \nabla_0\nabla_0 G(x-y)\partial^*_\mu G(x-z)\partial^*_\mu G(y-z) \\ &\quad + 2\nabla_0 G(x-y)\partial^*_\mu \nabla_0 G(x-z)\partial^*_\mu G(y-z)\Big\} \\ &= 2n_1(n_1-1)\left[I_{21} - \frac{1}{4}I_{22}\right] .\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle W_2 A^{(a)}_{2,1}\rangle_0^c &= -2n_1(n_1-1)\left(1-\frac{n_1}{V}\right)\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyu} G(x-u)G(y-u)\nabla_0^x\nabla_0^y G(x-y) \\ &= -2n_1(n_1-1)\left(1-\frac{n_1}{V}\right)\frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{\sin^2 p_0}{(\hat{p}^2)^3} \\ &= -2n_1(n_1-1)\left(1-\frac{n_1}{V}\right)\left(I_{31}-\frac{1}{4}I_{32}\right) .\end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle W_2 A^{(b)}_{2,1}\rangle_0^c &= \frac{1}{8V}\sum_{xyu}\partial^u_\mu\partial^v_\mu \left\langle [\nabla_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\nabla_0\vec{\pi}(y)]\,\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}(y)\vec{\pi}(u)^2\vec{\pi}(v)^2\right\rangle_0^c\Big|_{v=u} \\ &= n_1(n_1-1)\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyu}\partial^u_\mu\partial^v_\mu\Big[G(x-u)G(y-v)\times \\ &\quad \{2G(u-v)\nabla_0^x\nabla_0^y G(x-y) - \nabla_0^x G(x-v)\nabla_0^y G(y-u)\}\Big]_{v=u} \\ &= n_1(n_1-1)\left[2\mathcal{F}_2 - \mathcal{F}_3\right] ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

with $\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3$ defined in (B.7),(B.8).

$$\langle W_2 A^{(1)}_{4,1}\rangle_0^c = 4n_1(n_1-1)\left[I_{11}-\frac{1}{4}I_{12}\right] , \tag{C.16}$$

$$\langle W_2 A^{(i)}_{4,1}\rangle_0^c = 0 , \quad i=2,3,4 , \tag{C.17}$$

$$\langle W_2 A^{(5a)}_{4,1}\rangle_0^c = 4n_1(n_1-1)\left[J_{31}-\frac{1}{4}J_{32}\right] , \tag{C.18}$$

$$\langle W_2 A^{(5b)}_{4,1}\rangle_0^c = 4n_1(n_1-1)\left[I_{11}-\frac{1}{4}I_{12}\right] , \tag{C.19}$$

$$\langle W_2 A^{(5c)}_{4,1}\rangle_0^c = 4n_1(n_1-1)\mathcal{F}_5 , \tag{C.20}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_5$ is given by (B.10).

C.3 Computation of F_3 up to O (g_0^2)

We have

$$F_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{5}\frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4}F_3^{(i)} , \qquad F_3^{(i)} = \frac{1}{V}\langle C_4^{(i)}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} . \tag{C.21}$$

Averaging over the rotations gives the following expressions for the $F_3^{(i)}$:

$$F_3^{(1)} = F_3^{(5a)} + F_3^{(1a)}\,, \tag{C.22}$$

$$F_3^{(1a)} = \frac{2}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xk}\langle[2\mathbf{S}(x) + \Box_0\mathbf{S}(x)]\cdot\Box_k\mathbf{S}(x)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.23}$$

$$F_3^{(2)} = -\frac{4}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{x}\langle 2 - 2\{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(x)\}^2 + n_1\{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(x)\}\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(x)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,, \tag{C.24}$$

where we have introduced the notation $S_i'(x) = S_i(x+\hat{0})$ and below $S_i''(x) = S_i(x+2\hat{0})$.

$$F_3^{(3)} = F_3^{(4a)} + F_3^{(3a)}\,, \tag{C.25}$$

$$F_3^{(3a)} = \frac{4}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xk}\big\langle\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\partial_k\mathbf{S}(x)\left[n_1\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_k\mathbf{S}(x) + \mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\partial_k\mathbf{S}(x)\right] \tag{C.26}$$
$$-\partial_k\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_k\mathbf{S}(x)\big\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,.$$

$$F_3^{(4)} = F_3^{(4a)} - \frac{1}{d+2}\left(F_3^{(2)} + 2F_3^{(3)}\right)\,, \tag{C.27}$$

with

$$F_3^{(4a)} = -\frac{4}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{x}\langle\left\{n_1(\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(x))\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x) + 2 - 2(\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(x))^2\right\}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.28}$$

Next

$$F_3^{(5a)} = -\frac{4}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{x}\langle-\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\Box_0\mathbf{S}(x) + 2\partial_0\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_0^*\mathbf{S}(x)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.29}$$

$$F_3^{(5b)} = F_3^{(1)}\,. \tag{C.30}$$

$$F_3^{(5c)} = F_3^{(5a)} + F_3^{(5d)}\,, \tag{C.31}$$

$$F_3^{(5d)} = \frac{4}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xk}\langle\left[2\mathbf{S}''(x) - \mathbf{S}'(x)\right]\cdot\partial_k^2\mathbf{S}(x)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.32}$$

F_3 has a perturbative expansion starting at $\mathrm{O}\left(g_0^2\right)$:

$$F_3 = \sum_{r=1}F_{3,r}g_0^{2r}\,,\quad F_3^{(i)} = \sum_{r=1}F_{3,r}^{(i)}g_0^{2r}\,. \tag{C.33}$$

C.3.1 Computation of $F_{3,1}^{(i)}$

$$F_{3,1}^{(1)} = F_{3,1}^{(5a)} + F_{3,1}^{(1a)}\,, \tag{C.34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{3,1}^{(1a)} &= \frac{2}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xk}\langle[2\vec{\pi}(x) + \Box_0\vec{\pi}(x)]\cdot\Box_k\vec{\pi}(x)\rangle_0\\ &= \frac{2n_1}{n}\sum_k\left[2 + \Box_0\right]\Box_k G(0)\\ &= \frac{2n_1}{n}\left[-2I_{00} + 2I_{11} + I_{01} - I_{12}\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{C.35}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3,1}^{(2)} &= -\frac{4}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_x \langle 2\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x) + n_1\partial_\mu\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_\mu\vec{\pi}(x)\rangle_0 \\
&= \frac{4}{n}\left\{2\Box_0 G(0) + n_1\Box G(0)\right\} \\
&= -\frac{4}{n}\left\{2I_{11} + n_1 I_{00}\right\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.36}
$$

$$
F_{3,1}^{(3)} = F_{3,1}^{(4a)} + F_{3,1}^{(3a)} , \tag{C.37}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3,1}^{(3a)} &= -\frac{4}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xk}\langle\partial_k\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_k\vec{\pi}(x)\rangle_0 \\
&= -\frac{4}{n}\left[I_{00} - I_{11}\right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.38}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3,1}^{(4a)} &= -\frac{4(n_1+2)}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_x\langle\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\rangle_0 \\
&= -\frac{4(n_1+2)}{n} I_{11} .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.39}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3,1}^{(5a)} &= -\frac{4}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_x\langle -\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\Box_0\vec{\pi}(x) + 2\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0^*\vec{\pi}(x)\rangle_0 \\
&= \frac{4n_1}{n}\left\{\Box_0 G(0) + 2\partial_0^2 G(0)\right\} \\
&= -\frac{4n_1}{n}\left\{3I_{11} - I_{12}\right\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.40}
$$

Finally

$$
F_{3,1}^{(5c)} = F_{3,1}^{(5a)} + F_{3,1}^{(5d)} , \tag{C.41}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3,1}^{(5d)} &= \frac{4}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xk}\langle\left[2\vec{\pi}''(x) - \vec{\pi}'(x)\right]\cdot\partial_k^2\vec{\pi}(x)\rangle_0 \\
&= -\frac{4n_1}{n}\mathcal{F}_6 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.42}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_6$ is given by (B.11).

C.4 Computation of F_4 up to O $\left(g_0^2\right)$

F_4 is given by

$$
F_4 = Z_4\sum_i \frac{g_4^{(i)}}{4}F_4^{(i)} , \quad F_4^{(i)} = \frac{1}{V}\langle BB_4^{(i)}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} . \tag{C.43}
$$

Again averaging over rotations:

$$
F_4^{(1)} = F_4^{(5a)} + F_4^{(1a)} , \tag{C.44}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_4^{(1a)} &= -\frac{8}{nn_1 g_0^2}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyk}\langle\left[\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\Box_k\mathbf{S}(y)\right]\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\nabla_0\mathbf{S}(y) \\
&\quad - \left[\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\nabla_0\mathbf{S}(y)\right]\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\Box_k\mathbf{S}(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.45}
$$

$$F_4^{(2)} = \frac{8}{nn_1 g_0^2}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy}\langle\Big[\{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y)\}\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(y) - \{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(y)\}\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y)\Big]\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(y)\cdot\partial_\mu\mathbf{S}(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.46}$$

$$F_4^{(3)} = F_4^{(4a)} + F_4^{(3a)}\,, \tag{C.47}$$

$$F_4^{(3a)} = \frac{8}{nn_1 g_0^2}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyk}\langle\Big[\{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(y)\}\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\partial_k\mathbf{S}(y) - \{\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(y)\}\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_k\mathbf{S}(y)\Big]\partial_0\mathbf{S}(y)\cdot\partial_k\mathbf{S}(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.48}$$

$$F_4^{(4a)} = \frac{8}{nn_1 g_0^2}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy}\langle\Big[\{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y)\}\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(y) - \{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}'(y)\}\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\mathbf{S}(y)\Big]\partial_0\mathbf{S}(y)\cdot\partial_0\mathbf{S}(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.49}$$

$$F_4^{(5a)} = \frac{8}{nn_1 g_0^2}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy}\langle\{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_0^*\mathbf{S}(y)\}\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\partial_0\mathbf{S}(y) - \{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_0\mathbf{S}(y)\}\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\partial_0^*\mathbf{S}(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.50}$$

$$F_4^{(5b)} = F_4^{(1)}\,. \tag{C.51}$$

Finally

$$F_4^{(5c)} = F_4^{(5a)} + F_4^{(5d)}\,, \tag{C.52}$$

$$F_4^{(5d)} = \frac{8}{nn_1 g_0^2}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyk}\langle\{\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\big[\mathbf{S}''(y)-\mathbf{S}'(y)\big]\}\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\partial_k^2\mathbf{S}(y) - \{\mathbf{S}'(x)\cdot\big[\mathbf{S}''(y)-\mathbf{S}'(y)\big]\}\mathbf{S}(x)\cdot\partial_k^2\mathbf{S}(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\,. \tag{C.53}$$

F_4 has a perturbative expansion starting at $\mathrm{O}\left(g_0^2\right)$:

$$F_4 = \sum_{r=1} F_{4,r} g_0^{2r}\,, \quad F_4^{(i)} = \sum_{r=1} F_{4,r}^{(i)} g_0^{2r}\,. \tag{C.54}$$

C.4.1 Computation of $F_{4,1}^{(i)}$

$$F_{4,1}^{(1)} = F_{4,1}^{(5a)} + F_{4,1}^{(1a)}\,, \tag{C.55}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{4,1}^{(1a)} &= -\frac{4}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyk}\langle\Box_k^y\left[(\vec{\pi}(x)-\vec{\pi}(y))^2\right]\nabla_0^y\left[(\vec{\pi}'(x)-\vec{\pi}(y))^2\right]\rangle_0 \\ &= -\frac{8}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyk}\Big[2n_1\Box_k^y G(x-y)\nabla_0^y G(x+\hat{0}-y) \\ &\quad + \left(\Box_k^y\nabla_0^z\left\{G(\hat{0})-G(x-z)-G(y-x-\hat{0})+G(y-z)\right\}^2\right)_{z=y}\Big] \\ &= \frac{16(n_1-1)}{n}\left\{I_{11}-\frac{1}{4}I_{12}-I_{22}+\frac{1}{4}I_{23}\right\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.56}$$

$$F_{4,1}^{(2)} = \frac{8}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy}\langle\{\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\}\partial_\mu\vec{\pi}(y)\cdot\partial_\mu\vec{\pi}(y)\rangle_0 = 0\,. \tag{C.57}$$

$$F_{4,1}^{(3)} = F_{4,1}^{(4a)} + F_{4,1}^{(3a)}\,, \tag{C.58}$$

$$F_{4,1}^{(3a)} = \frac{8}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyk}\langle\{\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_k\vec{\pi}(y)\}\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\cdot\partial_k\vec{\pi}(y)\rangle_0 = 0\,. \tag{C.59}$$

$$F_{4,1}^{(4a)} = \frac{8}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy}\langle\{\partial_0\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\}\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\rangle_0 = 0\,. \tag{C.60}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{4,1}^{(5a)} &= \frac{8}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy}\left\langle\{\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0^*\vec{\pi}(y)\}\vec{\pi}'(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y) - \{\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_0\vec{\pi}(y)\}\vec{\pi}'(x)\cdot\partial_0^*\vec{\pi}(y)\right\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{8(n_1-1)}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy}\left\{\partial_0^{*y}G(x-y)\partial_0^y G(x+\hat{0}-y) - \partial_0^y G(x-y)\partial_0^{*y}G(x+\hat{0}-y)\right\} \\ &= \frac{16(n_1-1)}{n}\left\{I_{22} - \frac{1}{4}I_{23}\right\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C.61}$$

and finally

$$F_{4,1}^{(5c)} = F_{4,1}^{(5a)} + F_{4,1}^{(5d)}\,, \tag{C.62}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{4,1}^{(5d)} &= \frac{8}{nn_1}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyk}\langle\{\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\left[\vec{\pi}''(y)-\vec{\pi}'(y)\right]\}\vec{\pi}'(x)\cdot\partial_k^2\vec{\pi}(y) \\ &\quad - \{\vec{\pi}'(x)\cdot\left[\vec{\pi}''(y)-\vec{\pi}'(y)\right]\}\vec{\pi}(x)\cdot\partial_k^2\vec{\pi}(y)\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{8(n_1-1)}{n}\frac{1}{V}\sum_{xyk}\left[\partial_0^y G(x-y-\hat{0})\partial_k^{y2}G(x+\hat{0}-y) - \partial_0^y G(x-y)\partial_k^{y2}G(x-y)\right] \\ &= \frac{8(n_1-1)}{n}\mathcal{F}_7\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C.63}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_7$ is given by (B.12).

C.5 Computation of F_5 up to O (g_0^2)

$$F_5 = \mathrm{O}\left(g_0^4\right)\,, \tag{C.64}$$

and hence doesn't contribute to the order considered.

D The n = 2 case with lattice regularization

The lattice action with the chemical potential is

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\frac{1}{g_0^2}\sum_{x\mu}\cos\left(\partial_\mu\Phi(x) - ih\delta_{\mu 0}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{g_0^2}\sum_x\left[\sum_\mu\cos\left(\partial_\mu\Phi(x)\right) + ih\sin\left(\partial_0\Phi(x)\right) + \frac{1}{2}h^2\cos\left(\partial_0\Phi(x)\right)\right] + \mathrm{O}\left(h^3\right) \end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

With $\Phi(x) = g_0\phi(x)$ we have

$$A|_{h=0} = A_0 + g_0^2 A_1 + g_0^4 A_2 + \dots \tag{D.2}$$

where

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\mu}(\partial_\mu\phi(x))^2\,, \tag{D.3}$$

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{24}\sum_{x\mu}(\partial_\mu\phi(x))^4\,. \tag{D.4}$$

The h dependent part is given by

$$A_h = -\frac{h^2}{2g_0^2}V + ihg_0 B_1 + h^2\left(B_{20} + g_0^2 B_{21} + \dots\right) \tag{D.5}$$

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{6}\sum_{x}(\partial_0\phi(x))^3\,, \tag{D.6}$$

$$B_{20} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{x}(\partial_0\phi(x))^2\,, \tag{D.7}$$

$$B_{21} = -\frac{1}{48}\sum_{x}(\partial_0\phi(x))^4\,. \tag{D.8}$$

Note that we need the free energy only up to $h^2 g_0^2$; the omitted terms do not contribute to this order.

$$\begin{aligned} Vf_h &= \langle A_h\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle A_h^2\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle A_h\rangle^2 \dots \\ &= -\frac{h^2}{2g_0^2}V + h^2\langle B_{20}\rangle_0 + h^2 g_0^2\left(\langle B_{21}\rangle_0 - \langle B_{20}A_1\rangle_0^c + \frac{1}{2}\langle B_1^2\rangle_0\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{D.9}$$

So

$$\chi = \frac{1}{g_0^2}\left(1 + g_0^2 R_1 + g_0^4 R_2 + \dots\right)\,, \tag{D.10}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= -\frac{2}{V}\langle B_{20}\rangle_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\left\langle \frac{1}{V}\sum_x(\partial_0\phi(x))^2\right\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Box_0 G(0) = -\frac{1}{2V}{\sum_p}'\,\frac{\hat{p}_0^2}{\hat{p}^2} = -\frac{1}{2}I_{11}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{D.11}$$

in agreement with (3.55), and

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= -\frac{2}{V}\langle B_{21}\rangle_0 + \frac{2}{V}\langle B_{20}A_1\rangle_0^c - \frac{1}{V}\langle B_1^2\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{24}\left\langle \frac{1}{V}\sum_x (\partial_0\phi(x))^4 \right\rangle_0 - \frac{1}{48}\left\langle \frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy\mu} (\partial_0\phi(x))^2(\partial_\mu\phi(y))^4 \right\rangle_0^c \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{36}\left\langle \frac{1}{V}\sum_{xy} (\partial_0\phi(x))^3(\partial_0\phi(y))^3 \right\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\left(\Box_0 G(0)\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{x\mu}\Box_0 G(x)\Box_\mu G(x)\Box_\mu G(0) + \frac{1}{6}\sum_x \left(\Box_0 G(x)\right)^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{\hat{p}_0^2}{\hat{p}^2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\sum_\mu\left[\left(\frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{\hat{p}_0^2\hat{p}_\mu^2}{(\hat{p}^2)^2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{V}{\sum_q}' \frac{\hat{q}_\mu^2}{\hat{q}^2}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{6}S_{n2} \\ &= \frac{1}{8}I_{11}^2 - \frac{1}{4}I_{22}I_{11} - \frac{1}{4d_s}(I_{11}-I_{22})(I_{00}-I_{11}) - W_{3c}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{D.12}$$

Here

$$S_{n2} = -\sum_x \left(\Box_0 G(x)\right)^3 = 6W_{3c}\,. \tag{D.13}$$

The last equation follows from the direct comparison with (2.84). Also

$$-\sum_{x\mu}\Box_0 G(x)\Box_\mu G(x)\Box_\mu G(0) = I_{22}I_{11} + \frac{1}{d_s}(I_{11}-I_{22})(I_{00}-I_{11})\,. \tag{D.14}$$

The result for R_2 above agrees with the result in (2.88) for $n_1 = 1$.

References

[1] S. Weinberg, *Phenomenological Lagrangians*, *Physica A* **96** (1979) 327.

[2] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *Chiral perturbation theory to one loop*, *Ann. Phys.* **158** (1984) 142.

[3] S. Aoki et al, FLAG Working group, *Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** (2014) 2890.

[4] H. Leutwyler, *Energy levels of light quarks confined to a box*, *Phys. Lett. B* **189** (1987) 197.

[5] P. Hasenfratz, *The QCD rotator in the chiral limit*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **828** (2010) 201 [arXiv:0909.3419 [hep-th]].

[6] P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer, *Finite size and temperature effects in the AF Heisenberg model*, *Z. Phys. B* **92** (1993) 91.

[7] F. Niedermayer and C. Weiermann, *The rotator spectrum in the delta-regime of the O(n) effective field theory in 3 and 4 dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **842** (2011) 248 [arXiv:1006.5855 [hep-lat]].

[8] M. Lüscher, P. Weisz and U. Wolff, *A Numerical method to compute the running coupling in asymptotically free theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **359** (1991) 221.

[9] F. Niedermayer and P. Weisz, *Massless sunset diagrams in finite asymmetric volumes*, MPI-preprint, MPP-2015-267 [arXiv:1602.03159 [hep-lat]].

[10] M. Weingart, *The QCD rotator with a light quark mass*, [arXiv:1006.5076 [hep-lat]].

[11] M. Weingart, *QCD rotator with light quarks up to NNL order*, *PoS LATTICE2010* (2010) 09.

[12] M. E. Matzelle and B. C. Tiburzi, *Low-Energy QCD in the Delta Regime*, arXiv:1512.05286 [hep-lat].

[13] P. Hasenfratz, *Perturbation theory and zero modes in O(N) lattice σ–models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **141** (1984) 385.

[14] E. Brezin, J. Zinn-Justin and J. C. Le Guillou, *Renormalization of the nonlinear sigma model in $2+\epsilon$ dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **14** (1976) 2615.

[15] D. J. Amit and G. B. Kotliar, *Nonlinear sigma model and* $\mathrm{CP}^{(n-1)}$ *at $(2+\epsilon)-$ dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **170** (1980) 187.

[16] P. Hasenfratz and H. Leutwyler, *Goldstone boson related finite size effects in field theory and critical phenomena with O(n) symmetry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **343** (1990) 241.

[17] P. Hasenfratz, M. Maggiore and F. Niedermayer, *The Exact mass gap of the O(3) and O(4) nonlinear sigma models in $d = 2$*, *Phys. Lett. B* **245** (1990) 522.

[18] P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer, *The Exact mass gap of the O(n) sigma model for arbitrary $n \geq 3$ in $d = 2$*, *Phys. Lett. B* **245** (1990) 529.

[19] J. Balog and A. Hegedüs, *TBA Equations for excited states in the O(3) and O(4) nonlinear sigma model*, *J. Phys. A* **37** (2004) 1881 [hep-th/0309009].

[20] A. Hegedüs, *Nonlinear integral equations for finite volume excited state energies of the O(3) and O(4) nonlinear sigma-models*, *J. Phys. A* **38** (2005) 5345 [hep-th/0412125].

[21] J. Balog and A. Hegedüs, *TBA equations for the mass gap in the O(2r) non-linear sigma-models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **725** (2005) 531 [hep-th/0504186].

[22] J. Balog and A. Hegedüs, *The finite size spectrum of the 2-dimensional O(3) nonlinear sigma-model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **829** (2010) 425 [arXiv:0907.1759 [hep-th]].

[23] G. Parisi, *On the relation between the renormalized and the bare coupling constant on the lattice*, *Phys. Lett. B* **92** (1980) 133.

[24] M. Falcioni and A. Treves, *The nonlinear σ model: 3 loops renormalization and lattice scaling*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **265** (1986) 671.

[25] QCDSF Collaboration, *QCD in the delta-regime*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **287** (2011) 012016.

[26] W. Bietenholz, M. Göckeler, R. Horsley, Y. Nakamura, D. Pleiter, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz and J.M. Zanotti, *Pion in a box*, *Phys. Lett. B* **687** (2010) 410 [arXiv:1002.1696 [hep-lat]].

[27] W. Bietenholz, *Chiral fermions on the lattice*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1361** (2011) 245 [arXiv:1007.0285 [hep-lat]].

[28] G. Colangelo, J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *$\pi\pi$ scattering*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **603** (2001) 125 [hep-ph/0103088].

[29] G. Colangelo and S. Dürr, *The pion mass in finite volume*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **33** (2004) 543

[30] M. Lüscher, *Exact chiral symmetry on the lattice and the Ginsparg-Wilson relation Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 342 [hep-lat/9802011].

[31] Y. Kikukawa and A. Yamada, *Axial vector current of exact chiral symmetry on the lattice*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **547** (1999) 413 [hep-lat/9808026].

[32] P. Hasenfratz, S. Hauswirth, T. Jorg, F. Niedermayer and K. Holland, *Testing the fixed point QCD action and the construction of chiral currents*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **643** (2002) 280 [hep-lat/0205010].